

	Agenda					
Me	leeting Objectives:					
	 Surface Water Model Overview Groundwater Model Overview Environmental Flows Study Introduction 					
	4) RBC Discussion					
1.	Call the Meeting to Order (John Boyer, Facilitator) a. Review of Meeting Objectives b. Approval of Agenda c. Approval of November 18 th Minutes and Summary	9:00–9:05				
2.	Public Comment (John Boyer) a. Public Comment Period ¹	9:05-9:10				
3.	Surface Water Model Overview and Q & A (John Boyer, CDM Smith)	9:10-9:50				
4.	Groundwater Model Overview and Q & A (Bruce Campbell, USGS (Retired))	9:50-10:30				
5.	Environmental Flows Study Introduction and Q & A (Eric Krueger, The Nature Conservancy)	10:30-10:50				
	Break	10:50-11:05				
5.	Edisto RBC Member Vision Statement and Goals for the Edisto Basin (John Boyer & Planning Team)	11:05-11:55				
7.	Meeting Conclusion (John Boyer)	11:55-12:00				



Overview of the Edisto Basin Surface Water Quantity Model

Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM)

- Developed as a desktop tool to facilitate regional and statewide water planning and allocation
- SWAM calculates physically and legally available water, diversions, storage, consumption and return flows at user-defined nodes
- From 2014 to 2017, all eight South Carolina surface water quantity models were built in the SWAM platform



Surface Water Model Access

- Available for download at: <u>http://hydrology.dnr.sc.gov/surface-water-models.html</u>
- Also available for download:
 - SWAM User's Manual
 - Model reports for each basin
 - Supplementary technical memoranda



Overview

Effective water planning and management requires an accurate assessment of the State's surface water resources. To that end, the SCDNR has supported the development of surface-water quantity models that simulate the surface water system for each of the <u>eight major river basins in South Carolina</u>. The modeling platform is the Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM), developed by CDM Smith, Inc. These models will be used to evaluate current and future water availability and will support the development of State and regional water plans. Use the links below to access modeling reports and other documentation for each basin's SWAM model and to learn more about how the SWAM models were developed. Surface Water Models SCDNR has publicly released the Simplified Water Allocation Models (SWAM) for the Edisto, Saluda, and Salkehatchie river basins.

Download SWAM Models

Edisto Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling is:

- Water balance calculations of physical flow
- Water rights calculations of legally available flow
- Demands, withdrawals, and return flows
- Reservoir storage
- Stream networks, multiple "nodes"
- Data intensive



Edisto Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling *is not*:

- Rainfall-runoff calculations
- Hydrologic routing calculations
- Groundwater hydrology modeling
- Water quality modeling



In Support of Edisto River Basin Planning, the Model Will be Used to:

- Assess current supply availability and shortages across a range of hydrologic conditions (conditions from 1931 through 2018)
- Assess potential impacts of a "full allocation" scenario
- Assess a range of future potential scenarios with respect to changes in water demand, climate, and/or regulation
- Evaluate and help prioritize water management strategies



Edisto Model Inputs

- USGS daily flow records
- Historical Operational Data
 - Withdrawals (municipal, industrial, thermoelectric, agricultural, golf courses, hatcheries)
 - Wastewater discharges and return flows
 - Includes transfers in and out of the basin
- Subbasin characteristics (from GIS)
 - Drainage area
 - Land use
 - Basin slope



Main Stem and Major Branches



Primary Tributaries



Municipal Water Supply, Industrial, Thermoelectric and Golf Course Withdrawals



Agriculture Withdrawals



Wastewater Discharges and Returns





Edisto Surface Water Model Framework

SWAM Calculations: Supply

Physically available flow is a function of:

- upstream tributary inflows,
- reach gains and losses,
- upstream diversions, withdrawals, returns, and storage



Year	Month	Monthly
(YYYY)	(MMM)	Flow (CFS)
1931	Aug	0.38
1931	Sep	0.16
1931	Oct	0.16
1931	Nov	0.25
1931	Dec	0.69
1932	Jan	1.84
1932	Feb	2.29
1932	Mar	1.98
1932	Apr	0.77
1932	May	0.31
1932	Jun	0.58
1932	Jul	0.18
1932	Aug	2.90
1932	Sep	0.38
1932	Oct	1.94
1932	Nov	2.85
1932	Dec	2.60
1933	Jan	2.49
1933	Feb	3.58
1933	Mar	1.93
4000	Δ	0.70



SWAM Calculations: Supply

• Legally available flow is a function of:

Water rights / permit limits

Source Water Type

• Direct River

Groundwater

Reservoir

Permit Limit

100.6

(MGM)

Storage rights

Agricultural Water User

Cow Castle Creek

Diversion

Capacity

10000 (CFS)

Main Source Water Return Flows

Source Stream:

Minimum Instream flow requirements

Diversion

Location

0.1

(mi)

Minimum Flow Requirements

Seasonal Permit

3/27/1900

Downstream priority water uses



SWAM Calculations: Demand

• Water User Object:

WS: Aiken

Node-based demand, use and returns

N	Water User	>
[]	Main Water Usage Source Water 1 Source Water 2 Source Water 3 Source Water 4 Source Water 5 Return Flows	
	Water User Name: Delete WS: Aiken WS: Aiken Wode Wode Water User Name: Delete Node Wode Wode	
	Supplemental Supply/Demand Alternatives	
	Recapture Reuse Water Exchange Ag Transfer	
	Comments: Surface water withdrawai - 02WS002S01; groundwater - 02WS002G01-10. Discharge - general permit in-basin SCG646003, out- of-basin SC0024457	
	Save Close	

SWAM Calculations: Demand

M&I User Object:

Municipal and industrial water demands (prescribed monthly mean)



SWAM Calculations: Demand

• Ag User Object:

Agricultural water demands (prescribed monthly mean – repeated time series)



Model Calibration

- Calibration performed for multiple sites across wide range of hydrologic conditions
- Key calibration parameters = reach gain/loss factors (hydrology)



2020 Surface Water Model Updates

- Extended baseline hydrology through 2018 (added 5 years)
- Updated monthly mean water demands based on recent water use data
- Added new permittees (including Lois Ann Farms and Guinyard's Landing)
- Increased model resolution bay adding several small streams
- Refined calibration



Model Limitations

- Greater uncertainty in predictions for ungaged reaches compared to gaged
- Model not designed for reach routing of flow changes at a daily or subdaily timestep
- Greater uncertainty in supply availability (and "shortage") predictions associated with small stream withdrawals compared to larger river and reservoir withdrawals
 - e.g. offline irrigation ponds
- Baseline model assumes past hydrologic variability is representative of future hydrologic variability (stationary climate)

Surface Water Scenarios

Base Scenarios

- Current Surface Water Use Scenario
 - Uses most recent 10-yr average withdrawals (as reported by month)
- Permitted and Registered Surface Water Use Scenario
 - Uses current fully permitted and registered amounts
- Business-as-Usual Water Demand Projection Scenario
 - Future water demand projection based on moderate growth and normal climate
- High Water-Demand Projection Scenario
 - Future water demand projection based on high growth and hot/dry climate

Additional scenarios may be identified and requested by the RBC

Performance Measures

Assessment of simulation results will focus on quantifying key performance measures for multiple reaches of interest across the basin.

Examples:

- Percent change in a monthly minimum flow or 5th percentile flow
- Percent change in surface water supply
- Percent change in magnitude of a surface water shortages
- Percent of time recreational facilities were unavailable on a stream reach
- Change in the number and magnitude of excursions below 20, 30 and 40 percent mean annual daily flows