South Carolina Surface Water Quantity Modeling Project

Catawba-Wateree River Basin Meeting No. 1 – Model Framework

Kirk Westphal, PE John Boyer, PE, BCEE

November 4, 2015



Project Purpose

- Build surface water quantity models capable of:
 - Accounting for inflows and outflows from a basin
 - Accurately simulating streamflows and reservoir levels over the historical inflow record
 - Conducting "What if" scenarios to evaluate future water demands, management strategies and system performance.



- Developed in response to an increasing need for a desktop tool to facilitate regional and statewide water allocation analysis
- Calculates physically and legally available water, diversions, storage consumption and return flows at user-defined nodes
- Used to support large-scale planning studies in Colorado, Oklahoma, Arkansas and Texas



The Simplified Water Allocation Model is...

- a water accounting tool
- a WHAT-IF simulation model
- a network flow model that traces water through a natural stream network, simulating withdrawals, discharges, storage, and hydroelectric operations
- not precipitation-runoff model (e.g., HEC-HMS)
- not a hydraulic model (e.g. HEC-RAS)
- not a water quality model (e.g., QUAL2K)
- not an optimization model
- not a groundwater flow model (e.g., MODFLOW)

The Models Can Be Used To...

- Determine surface-water availability
- Predict where and when future water shortages would occur
- Test alternative water management strategies, new operating rules, and "what-if" scenarios
- Consolidate hydrologic data
- Evaluate the impacts of future withdrawals on instream flow needs
- Evaluate interbasin transfers
- Support development of Drought Management Plans
- Compare managed flows to natural flows

River Basin Flow and Operations Models

Similarities between SWAM, OASIS, CHEOPS, and RiverWare:

- Used in major river basin studies and/or statewide water plans
- Operating Rules of varying complexity
- Monthly and Daily Timesteps
- Visual Depiction of the River Network

Unique Features:

SWAM

- Familiar and adaptable environment: Visual Basic and Spreadsheets
- Built in functions for reservoirs, river operations, discharges, irrigation, return flows, etc.

OASIS

- Built in Probability Analysis for Real-Time Ops
- Optimization toward objectives in each timestep

CHEOPS

- Tailored specifically for hydropower
 - Energy Calculations
 - Reservoir Tracking
- Familiar Visual Basic programming

RiverWare

- Fully linked graphical network development
- 3 modes:
 - Pure simulation
 - Rules-based simulation
 - Optimization

- Object-oriented tool in which a river basin and all of its influences can be linked into a network with user defined priorities
- Resides within Microsoft Excel



HOME

INSERT

PAGELAYOUT

FORMULAS

- Intuitive & Resides within and interfaces directly with
 Transparent Microsoft Excel
- Ease-of-Use Point-and-click setup and output access
- Simple & Mass balance calculations, but handles
 Robust operating rules, use priorities, etc.

| | Agricultural Water User Main Source Water Return Flows | Input Forms | | | | _ | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | User Name: Delete Node Multiple Sources of Water ? | | | Home Inser | utp | ut Formulas | Data Review | SWAM. w View | Arkansas Basiı Developer | n 2014 for tea r Add-Ins | ım 6-20-14.xlsr s Bluebear | m - Microso m |
| | Supplemental Supply/Demand Alternatives Demands | | A | ΝВ | EY | EZ | FA | FB | FC | FD | FE | FF |
| Agri Mair | nricultural Water User ain Source Water Return Flows | | 0ut | tput | | Priority Rank | <u>Reach (mi)</u> | Location | Water Right (AFM) | Ditch Capacity (AFM) | Storage Capacity (AF) | |
| | Source Stream: Source Water Type Downstream Priority Date Direct River C Reservoir 1/1/2008 | | 3 | Date | Pueblo4 Physically Avail. (AFM) | Legally Avail. (AFM) | Diverted (AFM) | Storage (AF) | GW Pumping (AFM) | Demand (AFM) | Shortage (AFM) | Return Flow (AFM) |
| | Agricultural Water User | _ | X | Min | 1,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Main Source Water Return Flows | | 5 | Max | 100 000 | 400 | 400 | | | A . | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | Wax | 423,203 | 420 | 420 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| | Ditch Canacity | | 6 | Avg | 423,233 44,588 14,927 | 420 117 | 33 | 5,000 4,340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ditch Capacity (AFM) Return Flow Locations BE Loca | tion Time Lag | 6 7 8 | Avg Oct-81 | 423,293 44,588 14,837 23,186 | 420 117 0 | 420 33 0 | 5,000 4,340 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ditch Capacity (AFM) Return Flow Locations Receiving Stream: (mi | tion Time Lag (months) | 6 7 8 9 | Oct-81 Nov-81 Dec-81 | 423,233 44,588 14,837 23,186 24,424 | 420 117 0 0 0 | 420 33 0 0 0 | 5,000 4,340 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |
| | Ditch Capacity (AFM) C multiple point C multiple points Receiving Stream: (mi) C multiple points C mult | tion Time Lag (months) | 6 7 8 9 10 | Avg Oct-81 Nov-81 Dec-81 Jan-82 | 423,253 44,588 14,837 23,186 24,424 17,870 | 420 117 0 0 0 0 | 420 33 0 0 0 0 | 5,000 4,340 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| | Ditch Capacity (AFM) (_CFS) Return Flow Locations Receiving Stream: (_mi | tion Time Lag (months) 0 | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Avg Oct-81 Nov-81 Dec-81 Jan-82 Feb-82 | 423,253 44,588 14,837 23,186 24,424 17,870 16,694 | 420 117 0 0 0 0 0 | 420 33 0 0 0 0 0 | 5,000 4,340 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| | Ditch Capacity (AFM) (_CFS) Return Flow Locations return Flow Locations return Flow Locations return Flow Locations Receiving Stream: (mi 0 Monthly Return Flows | tion Time Lag (months) 0 | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Avg Oct-81 Nov-81 Dec-81 Jan-82 Feb-82 Mar-82 | 423,253 44,588 14,837 23,186 24,424 17,870 16,694 25,120 | 420 117 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 420 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 5,000 4,340 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| | Ditch Capacity (AFM) C_CFS) Return Flow Locations Receiving Stream: RF Loca (mi C multiple points Monthly Return Flows Ian Feb Mar Apr May Jun J | tion Time Lag (months) 0 | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Avg Oct-81 Nov-81 Dec-81 Jan-82 Feb-82 Mar-82 Apr-82 | 425,253 44,588 14,837 23,186 24,424 17,870 16,694 25,120 11,977 11,977 | 420 117 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 420 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 5,000 4,340 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| | Ditch Capacity (AFM) (_CFS) Return Flow Locations (_CFS) Return Flows Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun J Return Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun J | tion Time Lag (months) 0 ul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 | Avg Oct-81 Nov-81 Dec-81 Jan-82 Feb-82 Mar-82 Apr-82 May-82 | 423,223 44,588 14,837 23,186 24,424 17,870 16,694 25,120 11,977 35,025 | 420 117 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 420 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 5,000 4,340 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |

• Supports multiple layers of complexity for development of a range of systems, for example...

A Reservoir Object can include:

- 1. Basic hydrology dependent calculations
- 2. Operational rules of varying complexity such as prescribed releases, conditional releases, or hydrology dependent releases.

| | Reservoir |
|----|-----------|
| | |
| 49 | |
| | |
| | |

| D :- | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Reservoir | | | |
| Main | | | |
| Reservoir Na | ame: | elete Capacity (Al Iode | Initial Storage © Offline (AF) © Online |
| • Inches/day | y C % Volume | C Input Timeseries | Reservoir Releases |
| - Monthly Rates | | Area-Capacity Table | Release Location (mi) 0 User Defined Releases |
| Month Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jun Jul Aug Sep | Evap. Rates (in./day) | Volume Area (AF) (ac) | Month Min. Release (AFM) (CFS) Jan Feb Image: Comparison of the second se |

SWAM Model Main Screen

- : $\times \checkmark f_x$ AC36





Catawba-Wateree River Basin MODELING DATA REQUIREMENTS

Data Collected for Model Development

- USGS daily flow records
- Historical daily rainfall and evaporation rates
- Historical Operational Data
 - Withdrawals (municipal, industrial, agricultural, golf courses)
 - Discharges
 - Reservoir elevation
- Reservoir bathymetry and operating rules
- Subbasin characteristics (GIS)
 - Drainage area
 - Land use
 - Basin slope
- CWWMG data, studies, and model

Catawba-Wateree River Basin UNIMPAIRED FLOWS (UIF)

UIF Definition and Uses

- **Definition:** Estimate of natural <u>historic</u> streamflow in the absence of human intervention in the river channel:
 - Storage
 - Withdrawals
 - Discharges and Return Flow

• Unimpaired Flow =

Measured Gage Flow + River Withdrawals + Reservoir Withdrawals – Discharge to Reservoirs – Return Flow + Reservoir Surface Evaporation – Reservoir Surface Precipitation + Upstream change in Reservoir Storage + Runoff from Previously Unsubmerged Area

- Fundamental input to the model at headwater nodes and tributary nodes
- **Comparative basis** for model results

Primary UIF Data Sources

Documented

- USGS Gage flows
- DHEC records of M&I withdrawals and discharges
- Reservoir operator records of water levels
- Reported agricultural withdrawals
- GIS Data layers
- CWWMG Inflow Dataset

Estimated

- Direct contact with users regarding historic use patterns
- Operational hindcasting
- Agricultural water use modeling

Basinwide UIF Calculation Process

Stepwise Procedure for UIF Calculation - Saluda Basin



Step 2: Extension of UIFs for USGS Gages throughout the LONGEST Period of Record



Four Steps in UIF Calculation Process

- Step 1: UIFs for USGS Gages for individual periods of record
 - Involves extension of operational data
- Step 2: Extension of UIFs for USGS Gages through the LONGEST period of record
- Step 3: Correlation between ungaged basins and gaged basins
- Step 4: UIFs for ungaged basins



How UIFs are Used in SWAM



Two Versions of Every Model

Calibration with UIFs and Historic Use Records



Planning with UIFs, Current Uses, and User-Defined Future Uses



Catawba-Wateree River Basin

OVERVIEW OF MODEL FRAMEWORK

Catawba-Wateree Basin Main and Major Branches





Primary and Secondary Tributaries



CDM Smith

Catawba-Wateree Basin

CHEOPS Model Coverage



Source: CWWMG Master Plan CHEOPS Model, HDR, Inc.

SWAM Model Intended Coverage



Reservoirs and Hydroelectric







M&I and Energy Surface Water Withdrawals





Surface Water Withdrawals for Irrigation





Discharges to Surface Water

DUKE ENERGY/CATAWBA NUCLEAR





Interbasin Transfers





Catawba-Wateree Basin – SWAM Framework



Catawba-Wateree River Basin MODEL SETUP

Tributary Input Form



Reservoir Input Form

| U26 - | i X fx Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM) Input Summaries and Outputting | |
|-------|--|---|
| | Main Pelete Storage Initial Storage Offline Reservoir Hame: Pelete Capacity (Ar) Initial Storage Offline Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Monthy Rates Area Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capacity (Ar) Image: Capac | eek 7 50 Fork Puliock reek sy Creek WS: York WS: York |
| | Durbin Creek | N: Chemitrade |

Water User Input Form – Main

| | | ••• • |
|-----|---|----------------------------|
| U26 | Water User | |
| U26 | Water User Control Water User Control Water User Water User Water User Annual Baseline Usage Cons Manual Main Water Usage Supplemental Si Manual Main Water Usage Supplemental Si Manual Main Water Usage Supplemental Si Manual Main Water Usage Source Stream: Source Water Type Downstream Priority Date Location (m) 1/1/2008 Jan Source Stream: Cotication (m) 1/1/2008 Mary Juin Mary Seasonal Permit Save Juin Mary Seasonal Permit Save Juin Mary Seasonal Permit Save Nov Ditch Capacity Permit Limit Sa | |
| | (AFM Storage (AF) (AFY) Water Year Storage Right Start Mo. (1 - 12) 1 Carry Over Rule Identifying Notes: | K WS: YOR Ex Sanc |

Agricultural Water User Input Forms

| | | •••• |
|---|---|---|
| Agricultural Water User Main Source Water Return Flows | D.H.E.C | |
| User Name: Deleter Node Ag | ricultural Water User Source Water Return Flows | 1 |
| - Supplemental Supply/Demand Alternatives - | Blaney Criddle ET Irrigated Ditch Loss Irrigation Elevation Latitude Image: Original Acres (%) Efficiency (%) (ft absl) (degr) Image: Original 0 10 90 0 40 | |
| Groundwater | Crops Climate | |
| Comments: | Coeffs % of Total Start (F) (in.) Acreage Month Jan 30 0.5 Image 0 5 Mar 45 1.2 | |
| | Image: Constraint of the state of | üngs Creek |
| | ✓ 0 5 Aug 80 1.9 ✓ 0 5 Aug 80 1.4 ✓ 0 5 Oct 50 1.1 ✓ 0 5 Oct 50 1.0 | 53590 |
| | Nov 45 0.8 Dec 40 0.5 | Clark Fork |
| | Calculated River Headgate Demand Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Tot. 0 | 3780 Bullock |
| | Calculated Potential Consumptive Use of Irrigation Water Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Tot. | Turkey Creek |
| | (AFM) | 231 |
| | | ~ |
| | | eal Shoals Reservoir I: Neal hoals |
| | 56450 56459 IR: Lewis Nursery IN: Carlisie | IN: Chemtrade |

Instream Flow Input Form

| U26 • : 🗙 | $\checkmark f_x$ | •••• |
|---|---|---|
| Object Palette V <th>Instream Flows Water Right Instream Flow Name: Delete Node Target Stream: Downstream Location (mi) 0 Priority Date 1/1/2007 Rules 1/1/2007 Avg. Monthly Flow Rights Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec (CFS)</th> <th>195 Creek 53590 Clark Fork</th> | Instream Flows Water Right Instream Flow Name: Delete Node Target Stream: Downstream Location (mi) 0 Priority Date 1/1/2007 Rules 1/1/2007 Avg. Monthly Flow Rights Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec (CFS) | 195 Creek 53590 Clark Fork |
| | Comments: Save Close | Turkey Creek WS: York WS: York Exposition al Shoals eservoir Neal |
| | Durbin Creek 60351 664493 664496666666666 | Idis N: Chemtrade |

Catawba-Wateree River Basin MODEL VALIDATION

SWAM Calibration/Validation

- Calibration targets = downstream flow gage records
- Calibration parameters =
 - reach gains/losses,
 - ungaged flow records,
 - reservoir operations
 - ag return flow percentages, locations, lags
- Performance metrics =
 - Annual avg flows (overall water balance)
 - Monthly avg flows (seasonality)
 - Flow percentile distributions (variability, extreme events)
 - Flow timeseries (specific timings, operations)
 - Reservoir storage timeseries
 - CWWMG Inflow Dataset

Calibration Result Graphs





Catawba-Wateree River Basin
THANK YOU