

Introduction to the Santee River Basin Surface Water Quantity Model

John Boyer and Amy Shaw, CDM Smith

Agenda Item 9

What is a Model?

A **numerical model** is a representation of a real-world system that can be solved with computation methods

Numerical models allow us to explore and consider **possible futures**

Models should be as **simple** as possible and as **complex** as needed.

"All models are wrong, some are useful" George Box, 1976 British Statistician

Box's point was that we should focus more on whether something can be applied in a useful manner rather than debating endlessly if an answer is correct in all cases

Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling **is**:

- Water balance calculations of physical flow
- Water rights calculations of legally available flow
- Accounting of water demands, withdrawals, and return flows
- Accounting of reservoir storage and loss to evaporation
- A representation of stream networks, multiple "nodes"
- **Santee River Basin Model**

Data intensive

Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling *is not*:

- Rainfall-runoff calculations
- Hydrologic routing calculations
- Groundwater hydrology modeling
- Water quality modeling

Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM)

- Developed as a desktop tool to facilitate regional and statewide water planning and allocation
- SWAM calculates physically and legally available water, diversions, storage, consumption and return flows at user-defined nodes
- From 2014 to 2017, all eight South Carolina surface water quantity models were built in the SWAM platform
- Updates to the Santee model are being completed now



In Support of River Basin Planning, the Model Will be Used to:

- Assess current supply availability and shortages across a range of hydrologic conditions
- Assess a range of future potential scenarios with respect to changes in water demand
- Assess potential impacts of a "full allocation" scenario
- Compare managed flows to natural flows
- Evaluate drought management plans
- Test, evaluate and help prioritize water management strategies

Model Inputs and Supporting Information

Model Inputs

- USGS daily flow records
- Historical operational data
 - Withdrawals (municipal, industrial, thermoelectric, agricultural, golf courses, hatcheries)
 - Wastewater discharges and return flows
 - Transfers in and out of the basin
- Reservoir characteristics and operating rules

Supporting Information

- Subbasin characteristics
 - Drainage area, land use, and slope



Santee River Basin



GC: The Members

Jackson Creek



SWAM Calculations: Supply

- Physically available flow is a function of:
 - upstream tributary inflows,
 - reach gains and losses,
 - upstream diversions, withdrawals, returns, and storage

Cedar Creek **Headwater Flows**

Year	Month	Monthly
(YYYY)	(MMM)	Flow (CFS)
1980	Jan	36.0
1980	Feb	40.8
1980	Mar	86.4
1980	Apr	71.1
1980	May	27.3
1980	Jun	18.8
1980	Jul	17.1
1980	Aug	11.6
1980	Sep	11.5
1980	Oct	23.8
1980	Nov	18.3
1980	Dec	18.3
1981	Jan	15.4
1981	Feb	24.0
1981	Mar	17.9
1981	Apr	11.3
1981	May	7.9
1981	Jun	16.7
1981	Jul	9.5

Tributary		>
Tributary Name: Cedar Creek	Delete Tributary	Headwater Flows
Confluence Stream: Mainstem	Confluence Location 43 (mi)	
	()	
Subbasin Flow Fac	tors (unitless)	
end mile: 9 14.4		
factor: 2.6 4.4		
Temporally	/ Variable Factors	
Comments: SLD225. Flow f part of the calibration proc	factors adjusted slightly as jess. Save	Close
Cedar Cree	IR: Walker	land s

SWAM Calculations: Supply

• Legally available flow is a function of:

- Permit limits / water rights
- Minimum Instream flow requirements





SWAM Calculations: Demand

• WS: User Object:

- Node based withdrawals and returns
- Municipal water demands (prescribed monthly mean)

WS: Santee Cooper RWS

WS: Santee Cooper RWS

Monthly User Distribution Manual M&I Agriculture		— Annual Baseline U Total Use (MGY)	Jsage Distribute	Input Fo mor C time	ormat Ithly means eseries	
Ionthly Baselir Month	Monthly	% Indoor Use	% CU Indoor	% CU Outdoor		
Jan	19.74	100	44.7	100		
Feb	18,97	100	40.8	100		
Mar	19.54	100	45.3	100		
Apr	20.55	100	51.7	100		
May	24.02	100	60.6	100		
Jun	24.2	100	57.8	100		
Jul	24.07	100	56.6	100		
Aug	23.64	100	56.2	100		
Sep	22.51	100	54.5	100		
Oct	22.03	100	52.8	100		
Nov	19.9	100	50.5	100		
Dec	20.01	100	47.4	100		
	(1100)	,				

SWAM Calculations: Reservoirs

• Reservoir Object:

 Dynamic water balance, water supply pool, customized operating rules

Lake Marion

Reservoir \times Main Rule Set 1 Rule Set 2 Rule Set 3 Rule Set 4 Rule Set 5 Initial Storage Dead Pool Storage ○ Offline Reservoir Name: Capacity • Online Lake Marion Node 464338 464338 142703 (MG) (MG) (MG) Flood Control Outflow Evaporation Reservoir Operations % Vol Outflow ○ Monthly Mean ○ % Volume Receiving Stream: Input Timeseries Simple Mainstem • Advanced Edit Timeseries 0 0 100 0 Release Location (mi) 96.6 Area-Capacity Table Release Accounts • Simple 0 Detailed • All Users Volume Area O Specified User 2281 10 2933 1500 7820 6000 13686 10000 32585 19000 71687 29000 (CFS) 136858 40000 211803 51000 276974 60000 342144 71000 391022 80000 464338 106700 (MG) (Ac) Save Comments: Info from 2007 Santee Cooper EIS and USGS documents (https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wri884062); releases from Santee Dam are to mainstem, releases to Diversion Canal represented by user object Close

SWAM Calculations: Reservoirs

Reservoir Object:

 Example operating rule: Lake Marion normal operating target volumes (Rule Set 3)



Instrear le Details -	Curve n Flow	Maxim 1000	um Release 000 (CFS)								
Moving Averages Composite Metrics Ramping Per				Periods	riods Moving Triggers 🗆 Start of Timestep Storage Conditions					tions	
Start Date	End Date	Target	Condition T	ype	Conditional Object 1:	(Criteria1:	Cond. 1:	Conditional Object 2:	Criteria2:	Cond. 2:
01/16	02/08	398877	None	-		-	-		-	_	
02/09	03/10	418254	None	-		-	-		-	-	
03/11	05/31	432917	None	-		-	-		-	-	
06/01	07/31	432917	None	-		-	-		-	-	
08/01	10/11	418254	None	-		-	-		-	-	
10/12	12/15	381246	None	-		-	-		-	-	
12/16	01/15	347032	None	-		-	-		-	-	
				-		-	-		-	-	
				-		-	-		-	-	
				-		-	-		-	-	
				-		-	-		-	-	
				-		-	-		-	-	
		(CFS or MG))				(CFS or MC	6)	(CFS or M

Model Time Steps

- Model simulations may use a daily or monthly timestep
- A monthly timestep will be used to look for shortages, test and compare management strategies, and compare flows at strategic nodes.
- A daily timestep will be used for comparison to minimum instream flows and for determining changes in risk in ecological-flow relationships.

Model Calibration

- Calibration performed for multiple sites across a wide range of hydrologic conditions
- **Calibration Targets:** USGS streamflow gage records
- Key calibration parameters: reach gain/loss and statp-basin flow factors

A0

Performance metrics:

FOCUS ·

- Annual avg flows (overall water balance)
- Monthly avg flows (seasonality)
- Flow percentile distributions (variability, extreme events)
- Flow timeseries (specific timings, operations)
- Cumulative flows over entire calibration period
- Reservoir storage timeseries
- Achieving representative demands for the canal Water User objects

A0

I think these are probably the same for Santee? The language is slightly different in Savannah report vs. Santee report.

Savannah:

A number of performance metrics were used to assess the model's ability to reproduce past basin hydrology and operations. These include: monthly and daily water user supply delivery and/or shortfalls, monthly and daily timeseries plots of both river flow and reservoir levels, annual and monthly mean flow values, monthly and daily percentile plots of river flow values, annual 7-day low flows with a 10-year recurrence interval (7Q10), and mean flow values averaged over the entire period of record.

Santee:

A number of performance metrics were used to assess the model's ability to reproduce past basin hydrology and operations. These include: monthly and daily water user supply delivery and/or shortfalls; monthly and daily timeseries plots of both river flow and reservoir levels; annual and monthly mean flow values; monthly and daily percentile plots of river flow values; and mean flow values averaged over the entire period of record. . As emphasized in the calibration sequence outlined in Section 7.2.1, the focus of calibration was on reproducing historic patterns of reservoir storage/elevation and achieving representative demands for the canal Water User objects such that historic variability is preserved while still maintaining predictive potential. The other calibration metrics can offer important context, but as this is no longer a strictly hydrologic calibration, cannot be construed with as much weight as in previous basins. Author, 2025-02-05T21:36:36.324

Changed from reach gain/loss factors Author, 2025-02-05T21:39:36.652

Comparison of Monthly Gaged and Modeled Flows



Comparison of Daily Gaged and Modeled Flows



Comparison of Measured and Modeled Lake Levels



2024 Surface Water Model Updates

- Extended baseline hydrology
- Updated monthly mean water demands based on recent water use data
- Updated permit and intake location information
- Removed inactive permittees
- Added new registrations
- Software updates

Model Limitations

- Greater uncertainty in predictions for ungaged reaches compared to gaged
- Model not designed for reach routing of flow changes at a sub-daily timestep
- Greater uncertainty in supply availability (and "shortage") predictions associated with small stream withdrawals compared to larger river and reservoir withdrawals
 - e.g. irrigation ponds
- Baseline model assumes past hydrologic variability is representative of future hydrologic variability (stationary climate)





Performance Measures

Assessment of simulation results will focus on quantifying key performance measures for strategic nodes and reaches of interest across the basin.

Example / Suggestions:

- Percent change in a monthly minimum flow, 5th percentile flow, mean, and/or median flow
- Percent change in seasonal or monthly flows
- Percent change in surface water supply
- Percent change in mean annual shortage or mean percent shortage
- Change in the number and magnitude of excursions below 20, 30 and 40 percent mean annual daily flows and/or 7Q10 flow
- Change in number of water users that experience a shortage
- Change in the average frequency of shortage
- Percent of time recreational facilities were unavailable on a stream reach

