WaterSC

des.sc.gov/WaterSC



SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

PARKS, RECREATION







The Charge for WaterSC Executive Order No. 2024-22

Stakeholder Engagement Plan October 31, 2024

Report to Surface Water Study Committee January 31, 2025

Advise on updated State Water Plan December 31, 2025

Stakeholder Forums





Working Group Meetings



Today's Focus: The State of Surface Water

| Time | Agenda Item |
|---------|--|
| 1:00 pm | Welcome & Leading the Charge |
| 1:10 pm | State of Surface Water in South Carolina |
| | Water Use & Modeling |
| | Stakeholder Sector Reports |
| 2:35 pm | State of Surface Water in South Carolina |
| | Case Studies & Experiences |
| 2:45 pm | Tabletop & Facilitated Discussions |
| 3:50 pm | Next Steps |
| 4:00 pm | Adjourn & Networking |

WaterSC

des.sc.gov/WaterSC



SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

PARKS, RECREATION









State of Surface Water in SC What have we learned from the data?

Joe Koon, Director of Water Resources Bureau of Water November 22, 2024





2023 Reported Water Withdrawals

 95% of overall water withdrawals are from surface water (excluding hydropower)



2023 Reported Water Withdrawals

2023 Reported Surface Water Withdrawals

| Water Use Sector | Water Used (Million Gallons) | 2023 Surface Water Withdrawals by Sector |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1. Energy | 1,742,747 | Aquaculture |
| 2. Water Supply | 210,769 | Golf Course |
| 3. Industry | 90,119 | |
| 4. Agricultural Irrigation | 8,449 | Irrigation |
| 5. Golf Course Irrigation | 3,214 | Mining |
| 6. Mining | 1,538 | ■ Energy 85% |
| 7. Aquaculture | 337 | Water Supply |

Sector Surface Water Withdrawals

 Energy: 3.1% increase

Majority of water is returned after processing

Surface Water Withdrawals by Sector, 2013 - 2023



Sector Surface Water Withdrawals

2. Water Supply: 19.3% increase

3. Industrial: 13.7% decrease

Surface Water Withdrawals by Sector, 2013 - 2023



Surface Water Withdrawals

- Total surface water withdrawals have increased 3.8%
- Excluding energy, withdrawals have increased **7.9%**



Surface Water Withdrawals, 2013 - 2023

Factors Influencing Withdrawals

South Carolina GDP, 2000-2023

300,000 Economic of dollars 250,000 Population Growth Growth Real GDP, in millions South Carolina Population, 2000-2023 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 2004 2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2018 Historical Drought Conditions in South Carolina, 2000 - 2024 1009 909 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2018 Total Percent Land Area 70% 50% 40% 30% Climate 20% 10% 2012 2013

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

SC is a growing state that heavily relies on surface water to meet our needs

- Growing demands and increased efficiencies will affect trends over time
- What have the various sector groups observed regarding demands and efficiencies?
- We'll now hear what we've learned from modeling of surface water



State of Surface Water in South Carolina What have we learned from modeling?

John Boyer, PE, BCEE, PMP CDM Smith November 22, 2024



Surface Water Modeling Background

- To support the water planning process, **surface water models** have been developed for the state's **eight major river basins**
- The models help address **quantity**-related questions such as:



Surface Water Modeling Background

• The models use historical hydrologic conditions dating back 70 to 90 years



Surface Water Modeling Background

- Models have been applied to support the river basin planning process in six of eight basins
- Modeling Scenarios evaluated:
 - Current Water Use
 - Moderate Water Demand (to 2070)
 - High Water Demand (to 2070)
 - Fully Permitted and Registered Withdrawals
 - Unimpaired or "Naturalized" flow
 - Extended/Synthetic Droughts (in some basins)



What Have We Learned? – Upstate SC

pper

- Surface water resources of the Upstate basins are generally sufficient to meet current water supply needs
- In the Broad River basin potential public supply shortages seen in the High Demand Scenario can generally be avoided by:
 - Optimizing the operation of existing water supply reservoirs
 - Adding new surface water intakes
 - Building interconnections between water systems



What Have We Learned? – Upstate SC

- In the **Saluda** and **Upper Savannah** River basins:
 - Surface water resources are generally sufficient to meet projected water supply needs through 2070 under the High Demand Scenario
 - Exceptions include several agriculture, mining, and golf course surface water users on small tributaries



What Have We Learned? – Upstate SC

- If surface water users withdrew at their fully permitted and registered amounts, there would be frequent and significant shortages in the Broad and Saluda, but relatively few shortages in the Upper Savannah
- Only about...
 - 52% in the Broad,
 - 31% in the Saluda, and
 - 75% in the Upper Savannah
 - ...of the **permitted and registered** amounts are currently withdrawn



What Have We Learned? – Coastal SC



 Surface water resources of the coastal basins are generally sufficient to meet current water supply needs, although some agricultural users may be at risk of potential shortages during droughts

• In the **Edisto** River basin:

- The existing sources of supply for several public water suppliers may be insufficient to meet demands during drought conditions under the High Demand Scenario
- Existing, alternate sources of supply may eliminate the observed shortages

What Have We Learned? – Coastal SC



- In the Pee Dee and Lower
 Savannah-Salkehatchie River
 basins, surface water resources are generally sufficient to meet
 projected water supply needs
 through 2070 under the High
 Demand Scenario
- Exceptions include several agriculture, mining, and golf course surface water users on small tributaries
- Further study is needed in nearcoastal areas (e.g. Myrtle Beach)

What Have We Learned – Coastal SC



- In all three basins, if surface water users withdrew at their fully permitted and registered amounts, the basins would be unsustainably stressed with frequent shortages and low flows
- Only about...

44% in the Pee Dee,17% in the Edisto,18% in the Lower Savannah, and6% in the Salkehatchie

...of the **permitted and registered** amounts are currently withdrawn

What Have We Learned?

- Modeling has also provided insight into:
 - The frequency of time that streamflow drops below established Minimum Instream Flows (MIFs)
 - The potential level of risk posed to ecological health due to increasing withdrawals



Surface Water Modeling Additional Considerations

- Longer and more severe droughts could stress surface water resources, causing shortages, especially in the **Upper Savannah** and **Broad** River basins
- Modeling was not performed to evaluate the ability to support new permitted and registered surface water withdrawals at specific locations



Lake Thurmond



Lake Hartwell Photos courtesy Harry Shelley and Doug Young

Surface Water Modeling Additional Considerations

- The River Basin Council's have identified potential challenges to surface water availability and use:
 - Sedimentation in reservoirs which reduces storage
 - **Increasing temperatures** which increase evaporative losses primarily from reservoirs
 - **Changing land use** which can increase impervious surface, reduce infiltration, increase peak flows, and generally alter the hydrologic flow regime
 - **Reductions in water quality** which can limit surface water availability and increase the cost of treatment



Lake Thurmond



Saluda Lake

Photos courtesy Harry Shelley and Melanie Ruhlman

Surface Water Modeling Summary

Modeling has helped address important questions:

- Is there enough surface water to support current and projected surface water withdrawals?
- What could happen if all surface water users withdrew water at their fully permitted and registered amounts?
- What water management strategies are effective at eliminating projected shortages, increasing surface water availability, sustaining growth, and leaving sufficient water in streams and rivers to support ecological health, recreation and other in-stream needs?



Reedy River



Lake Blalock

JwaterSC











SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM





Questions?

John Boyer, PE, BCEE, PMP CDM Smith







WaterSC

des.sc.gov/WaterSC



SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

PARKS, RECREATION









Surface Water Case Studies and Experiences

November 22, 2024



Successes: Industry



Challenges: Industry and Commerce

- 1. <u>Request</u>: Industry looking to locate near a surface water supply in South Carolina bringing economic growth to a community
- 2. <u>Regulatory evaluation</u>: The amount of water requested was available, but unlike grandfathered users, they would be required to stop using surface water immediately in low flows
- 3. <u>Result</u>: Industry decides not to locate at the South Carolina and the community loses the potential economic growth

Successes: Water Supply and Energy



Challenges: Water Supply

- <u>Request</u>: A municipal water supply system requested to increase their permit volume by 30% to prepare for future population growth by using an intake from a neighboring industrial plant
- 2. <u>Regulatory evaluation</u>: An "industrial" intake cannot be transferred to a "water supply" intake, and the water body is overallocated – the current permit amounts exceed the actual volume of water
- 3. <u>Result:</u> Municipality challenged to plan for future growth

Successes: Agriculture



Challenges: Agriculture

- 1. <u>Request:</u> In a basin, farmers are seeking new registrations to use surface water
- 2. <u>Regulatory evaluation</u>: That basin is completely allocated, NO new agricultural registrations can be granted, and current registrations cannot be transferred
- 3. <u>Result:</u> Farmers cannot get new agricultural registrations for a new farm or an expansion, they would need to be permitted, requiring them to stop using surface water immediately in low flows, unlike other farmers

South Carolina has cases of water use successes and challenges...

• What successes have you seen?

What challenges have you faced?

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES



Get in touch

Joe Koon // Water Resources Division Director

Joseph.Koon@des.sc.gov

803.898.4210

des.sc.gov

@SouthCarolinaDES



The WaterSC Working Group

- Have a statewide resource-focused approach
- Remain committed to the process
- Serve as a voice and connection for stakeholder sectors and categories
- Provide transparency
- Be collaborative and solution-focused



WaterSC Tabletop Discussions

WaterSC Tabletop Discussions--November 22, 2024



What conservation methods, best practices and successes have you seen?

What challenges have you seen?

With the challenges, successes and information we have, how can we continue to meet current and future needs of our state while protecting our surface water resources?

How to Be Engaged with WaterSC

- Stay informed via the webpage des.sc.gov/watersc
 - Provide online comments
 - Livestream and meeting resources
- Attend Open House & Listening Session on January 7, 2025 to provide verbal comments
- Connect with Stakeholder Forums hosted by WaterSC members and other related groups



Working Group Meetings



WaterSC

des.sc.gov/WaterSC



SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF

PARKS, RECREATION





