State of South Carolina)
County of Beaufort))
)
In Re: Proposed)) Transcript
ArborNature C&D Transfer Station)) of)
) Public Meeting))
)
))
)

Date: September 24, 2020

Location: Meeting Held Virtually for all Attendants

Transcribed by Audrey S. Beebe



APPEARANCES

DHEC staff present:

Donna Moye
Kristy Ellenberg
Justin Koon
Juli Blalock
Katherine Yon
Lawra Boyce
Jill Stewart
Chris Stout
Tim Eleazer
Sean Briggs
Shannon Hicks

Speakers from the public:

Carl Hackling Rita Etheridge William Ravenel Lynn Wiggins Linda Besserer Brad Simpson Jim Fisher Paul Meehan Dave Park Michael Mitelman Greg Lyons Lance Pyle John Lawson Joanne Mount Risa Prince Judith Berry Ed Logue Laura Meagher Keith Schlegel Ken Couch Nick Akers Sam Scruggs



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MS. ELLENBERG: Good evening, everyone, and welcome. I
3	have 6:30. Looks like we have a lot of people
4	joining us and just a a quick reminder, we are
5	muting lines as you're joining the call. So thank
6	you so much for being here. I have 6:30, so I
7	think it's time for us to get started. I know
8	we're expecting a large crowd. We already have a
9	large crowd, and it may continue growing in the
10	next few minutes.
11	But hello and welcome tonight. Thank you
12	again for joining us for DHEC's virtual public
13	meeting on the proposed ArborNature Transfer
14	Station. This is actually a second virtual public
15	meeting on this topic; there was one earlier, two
16	weeks ago on September 10th.
17	I'm Kristy Ellenberg, and I'm the director of
18	collaborative partnerships for DHEC's Office of
19	Environmental Affairs, and I'll be serving as your
20	technology host as well as a cofacilitator for
21	tonight's virtual meeting. There also several
22	other people on our tech team who are assisting me
23	tonight. I'll ask them to introduce themselves.
24	MR. BRIGGS: Good evening, everyone. This is Sean
25	Briggs.



- 1	$\overline{}$	
	1	MS. BOYCE: Good evening, everyone. This is Lawra
	2	Boyce.
	3	MS. YON: Good evening, everyone. This is Katherine
	4	Yon.
	5	MS. ELLENBERG: Thanks, gang. We have some people who
	6	will be helping behind the scenes, especially as we
	7	have a facilitated discussion a little bit later.
	8	In a few minutes, you'll be introduced to several
	9	other DHEC staff who are also involved in tonight's
	10	virtual meeting.
	11	We're using this technology to provide
	12	opportunities for continued participation in our
	13	decision-making processes while we all do our part
	14	to reduce the spread of coronavirus by following
	15	current federal and state guidelines that promote
	16	social distancing. We want to hear from all who
	17	are interested, but as the state's public health
	18	agency, we must also make public health and safety
	19	a priority. We encourage you to help stop the
	20	spread of COVID by washing hands, staying home when
	21	sick, covering coughs, and keeping surfaces clean.
	22	Most importantly, stay up to date and stay informed
	23	of COVID-19 by vising our website
	24	scdhec.gov/covid19.
	25	Your participation is important to us, so



1	we've gone to some extensive efforts to ensure that
2	anyone who's interested in engaging with us has the
3	ability to do so. We are expecting, really, our
4	largest virtual meeting crowd tonight, so we hope
5	you will work with us as we try to hear from y'all
6	as much as possible. We're continuing to evaluate
7	the tools and accommodations that we use.
8	(Technical interruption) that we may be able to
9	improve your experience with virtual participation,
10	so please give your thoughts in the feedback survey
11	that will be posted on the webpage after tonight's
12	meeting.
13	Just a quick tip for some improved
14	connections: We encourage you to close other
15	applications that may be running on your computer
16	or turn off other streaming services or devices at
17	your location for the duration of the virtual
18	meeting if you need to improve the quality of that
19	connection. All lines have been muted in order to
20	minimize background noise and echoing so that
21	everyone can hear the presenters. After the
22	presentation, we'll be unlocking the lines, which
23	will provide you an opportunity to share concerns
24	and ask questions as well.
25	The virtual meeting is being recorded, and



1	that recording will be placed on our webpage in the
2	next few days. And I'm seeing that we have already
3	started the recording tonight.
4	Donna Moye will be joining me tonight helping
5	me facilitate, and after the presentation, Donna
6	and I will share some additional instructions for
7	our facilitated discussions. So, Donna, if you're
8	ready, let's go ahead and get started.
9	MS. MOYE: Thank you, Kristy. Can you hear me?
10	MS. ELLENBERG: Yep. I can.
11	MS. MOYE: Great. Hello and welcome. My name is Donna
12	Moye. I am the public participation coordinator
13	for DHEC's Bureau of Land and Waste Management in
14	Columbia. It is a pleasure to be here with you
15	again and, on behalf of DHEC, I would like to thank
16	you for taking the time to join us remotely.
17	As you know, DHEC held a virtual public
18	meeting earlier this month on September 10th to
19	discuss the proposed ArborNature Construction and
20	Demolition Debris Transfer Station. We are holding
21	this second public meeting because we recognize
22	that this is an important issue not only for your
23	community but for each of you personally as well.
24	Like the first meeting, our purpose tonight is
25	to listen to your concerns and questions, share



1	information with you, and ensure that you know how
2	to stay connected with us throughout this process.
3	Our goal for this meeting is to continue our
4	conversation with you and for you to know that your
5	voice has been heard prior to a final decision
6	being made. The perspective that each of you bring
7	here tonight is unique and valued.
8	It is very important for us to continue to
9	receive your input so that we understand all
10	concerns and how they may relate to DHEC's
11	permitting decision. Your comments have and may
12	still provide crucial information about additional
13	issues to be considered in the permitting process.
14	As was the case with our meeting held two
15	weeks ago, we are pleased to see that we have a
16	very large crowd tonight. Our hope is that those
17	who were not able to join our first meeting or ask
18	a question will have the opportunity to do so
19	tonight. We do recognize that we will not be able
20	to hear from everyone. We want to make sure you
21	know that this meeting is one step in our process
22	of engaging with you. If you are not able to share
23	your concerns with us tonight, we encourage you to
24	share them with us by phone, mail, or e-mail, and
25	of course, at the public hearing that will be held



1	in October.
2	I would like to remind you that DHEC has
3	created a webpage for access to information about
4	the proposed transfer station. As previously
5	mentioned, this meeting is being recorded and will
6	be posted on the webpage along with the
7	presentation that is given tonight. That webpage
8	address is www.scdhec.gov/ArborNature.
9	An overview of the proposed transfer station
10	will be given tonight by Justin Koon. Following
11	Justin's presentation, we will have a facilitated
12	discussion. As Kristy mentioned earlier, we will
13	give instructions on how to participate and share
14	questions at that time. We ask that you hold any
15	questions you have during the presentation until
16	the question-and-answer session.
17	From the comments shared during our first
18	public meeting two weeks ago and those shared by
19	e-mail and conversations with our staff, we
20	understand there are a variety of concerns about
21	the proposed transfer station. Please remember
22	that the focus of this public meeting is on DHEC's
23	role as the state's environmental permitting
24	authority. A final decision will not be made
25	tonight and will not be based upon how many people



1	say the same thing.
2	This online platform enables two-way
3	communication with DHEC staff. It also has its own
4	unique challenges. We appreciate your patience and
5	understanding as we work through any technical
6	issues that may arise. With that, we will move on
7	to our agenda and opening remarks by Juli Blalock.
8	Juli?
9	MS. BLALOCK: (Technical interruption.) Can everybody
10	hear me?
11	MS. MOYE: We can hear you, Juli.
12	MS. BLALOCK: Okay. I'm Juli Blalock. I'm one of the
13	assistant bureau chiefs in DHEC's Bureau of Land
14	and Waste Management, and as Donna mentioned, we
15	are here tonight to listen to any new comments you
16	may have about the proposed ArborNature Transfer
17	Station and to follow up on concerns that were
18	raised at the public meeting held on September
19	10th.
20	For those of you participating by computer,
21	you should be able to see the agenda on your screen
22	at this time. And for those of you joining us by
23	phone, we will conduct the meeting by first giving
24	an overview, as Donna said, of the facility
25	followed by a facilitated discussion of those



1	previously shared concerns and comments. We will
2	end the meeting with the next steps and how you can
3	stay involved, so we hope you will stay for the
4	entire meeting.
5	Since our last meeting, we have been looking
6	into many of your concerns and continue to gather
7	additional information. One of the biggest
8	concerns we've heard, as stated in the agency's
9	mission statement is DHEC's role in improving the
10	quality of life for South Carolinians by protecting
11	and promoting the health of the public and the
12	environment. DHEC is committed to fulfilling the
13	agency's responsibilities to protect and promote
14	the health of the public and the environment.
15	Through the exercise of these responsibilities,
16	DHEC works to improve the quality of life of
17	individuals and communities.
18	However, the agency may only act within the
19	limits of its statutory and regulatory authority.
20	Through statutes and regulations, the General
21	Assembly has established conditions and criteria
22	the agency uses to ensure public health and
23	environmental protection.
24	As part of many of our permitting processes,
25	DHEC engages surrounding communities and the



1	general public prior to issuing a permit for
2	several reasons: first, to provide information
3	about the proposed permitting activities in those
4	communities; to give you the community members and
5	other interested parties an opportunity to bring
6	relevant information to DHEC to consider prior to
7	issuing or making a permit decision; and to provide
8	an opportunity to bring other concerns to the
9	attention of DHEC and the applicant.
10	The public meeting tonight is just one way to
11	receive your comments and hear your concerns. DHEC
12	is committed to addressing all these comments and
13	concerns and to consider any relevant information
14	prior to making a final permit decision. Public
15	input is an important component of our permitting
16	process and can result in changes to permit
17	conditions and operational practices.
18	We recognize there can be concerns outside of
19	DHEC's permitting authority, such as noise and
20	truck traffic and potential impact to property
21	values, that may affect the quality of life for a
22	community. When there are concerns outside of
23	DHEC's permitting authority, we work to bring the
24	applicant and community members together to discuss
25	those concerns. Our experience is that most



1	permitted businesses want to be good neighbors and
2	are willing to work with the surrounding community
3	to address quality of life concerns.
4	Again, we look forward to hearing any
5	additional concerns tonight and revisiting some of
6	the questions raised on September 10th. Many of
7	you participated in the first public meeting, but
8	some of you may be joining us tonight for the first
9	time. Prior to our facilitated discussion, we
10	would like to give this brief overview of the
11	proposed transfer station, so at this time, I would
12	like to turn the meeting over to Justin Koon,
13	manager of the Solid Waste Permitting and
14	Monitoring Section, for the presentation. Justin?
15	MR. KOON: Thank you, Juli. So, again, my name is
16	Justin Koon. I'm the manager of the Solid Waste
17	Permitting and Monitoring Section here at DHEC.
18	Tonight we're going to provide just a slimmed down
19	version of the presentation that was given on
20	September the 10th. If you'd like to see the
21	the full version of the presentation, I encourage
22	you to go back to the website, and it's posted
23	there. And you can also listen to the recording of
24	the the meeting. All right. But tonight we're
25	going to talk about just general information, the



1	buffer requirements, and the design requirements.
2	So ArborNature, LLC, is the applicant for this
3	project. Tonight we're here to talk about the
4	transfer station application. ArborNature is also
5	proposing to have a composting facility located at
6	this site, which is located at 26 Summit Drive on
7	Hilton Head Island. The site is approximately
8	4 acres in size. They're proposing to store 240
9	tons of construction and demolition debris at the
10	site. These proposed activities have been approved
11	by the Town of Hilton Head through their zoning
12	department.
13	The buffer requirements, as mentioned
14	previously, the operating area is required to be at
15	least 100 feet from property lines, 200 feet from
16	any surface water, and that excludes drainage
17	ditches and sedimentation ponds. The operating
18	area is also required to be 200 feet from
19	residences, schools, hospitals, or recreational
20	parks; 100 feet from any drinking water well. The
21	operating area cannot be located in a wetland. And
22	then, whenever possible, transfer stations shall
23	not be constructed in a 100-year floodplain. There
24	are no buffer requirements for an airport.
25	So we've got the site map up at the moment,



1	and I've taken some of the comments I've received
2	to hopefully improve the the map. But as you
3	can see, to the south is the wetland boundary line.
4	I'm kind of highlighting that. It's in the dark
5	blue line to the south of the site.
6	The parcel boundary is the orange line.
7	The green boxes, these indicate the areas
8	where the composting facility would store land-
9	clearing debris and the mulch after it's ground.
10	So that that's the green boxes.
11	The purple area here in the center is the
12	operational area.
13	The dashed purple line is the 200-foot buffer
14	that's required to residences and surface waters.
15	The dark blue here is an infiltration basin
16	that's being proposed as part of the the
17	stormwater requirements.
18	And then the dashed green line to the north
19	and east of the site is the drainage ditch.
20	And then we also have a dashed blue line in
21	here that is the 100-foot setback to the property
22	line.
23	All right. So some of the design
24	requirements: Again, they have to have proper on-
25	site roads; access control, which could be a gate



1	or a fence or a berm; signage; an agreement with
2	the fire department; a low permeability pad. And
3	then, due to the nature of the waste, which again
4	is construction and demolition debris, the
5	following design criteria may not be required: a
6	water supply for cleaning the pad that is equipped
7	with drains or pumps; an enclosed building; and an
8	exhaust removal system.
9	I'm now on Slide 12, the application review
10	process. So we received the application on March
11	the 4th, 2020. We noticed the draft permit on June
12	the 10th of 2020. On August the 18th, we noticed
13	that we would hold a public meeting and a public
14	hearing, and we did that in "The Island Packet."
15	On September the 10th, we held our first public
16	meeting, and tonight we're hosting the second
17	public meeting. Again, on October the 22nd, we'll
18	have the public hearing, and on November the 5th,
19	that is the date that the extended DHEC public
20	comment period closes. After notice of a final
21	permitting decision, whether that's to approve or
22	deny the application, a party has 15 days to file
23	for a request for review with the SC DHEC Board of
24	Directors.
25	So how can you provide comments? Again, you



1	can submit those by mail or e-mail through November
2	the 5th of 2020 to myself, Justin Koon. The
3	address is 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, South
4	Carolina 29201. Again, we have the application and
5	the draft permit on our website at
6	www.scdhec.gov/ArborNature. For this facility, you
7	can contact here in the central office Tim Eleazer,
8	who is one of the permitting engineers who reviews
9	transfer station applications. In our local
10	office, Reahnita Tuten is the regional inspector.
11	All right. So that concludes tonight's
12	presentation portion. At this time, we're going to
13	turn it back over to Kristy Ellenberg. Kristy?
14	MS. ELLENBERG: Thanks, Justin. So tonight, we're going
15	to have a little bit different format from two
16	weeks ago in how we can kind of structure our
17	conversation and our discussion. We do want to
18	make sure we're sharing in one conversation where
19	everyone's participating and can be heard by all.
20	We did hear from you in that feedback survey
21	that the Q&A feature was a bit frustrating two
22	weeks ago when we met. I can tell you, from the
23	tech team side, we had over 60 questions and
24	concerns that were shared in that Q&A board, and so
25	we weren't able to share all those verbally back



1	into the larger conversation in our three-hour
2	meeting. And we also we were focused on the
3	large number of people that preregistered to speak.
4	We appreciated all their comments, but we wanted to
5	make it where you can participate in the
6	conversation as we go forward tonight.
7	So our team has prepared a summary of the most
8	frequently received concerns that we've heard, not
9	just during the meeting, not just from the Q&A
10	board, but also additional conversations that our
11	staff has had with many of you either by e-mail or
12	phone or otherwise since that previous meeting.
13	And we kind of grouped those concerns into four
14	broad categories. We're going to get into more
15	detail of what this includes, but those four broad
16	categories are the site-specific concerns; water-
17	quality concerns; concerns with operation,
18	compliance, and inspection; and then just a lot of
19	questions about our permitting and decision-making
20	process.
21	So what we're going to do tonight is make sure
22	that we kind of share through those. We're going
23	to go through each category, and at each of those
24	four categories, we're going to stop and ask you if
25	there are other concerns for that group that we



II	
1	need to hear, that we need to capture, that we need
2	to get some more information on. And after we do
3	that, we'll go to some general Q&A and make sure
4	that we're able to continue our discussion from
5	there.
6	So, Donna, do you want to talk a little bit
7	about the meeting guidelines of how everybody can
8	be part of this discussion?
9	MS. MOYE: Sure, Kristy. So as we move on to our
10	facilitated discussion, there are four guidelines
11	that we would really like for you to remember as we
12	continue through the discussion tonight. The first
13	of those is to please be respectful of the time,
14	the meeting format and of each other. Secondly,
15	please only ask one question when you are called
16	on. As previously stated, we have a very large
17	crowd tonight and we may not be able to hear from
18	everyone.
19	MS. ELLENBERG: Is everyone able to see that slide right
20	now? It looks like I'm having a "loading" symbol.
21	See if our tech team can take control and get it to
22	the right slide, Donna.
23	MS. YON: I'm seeing it, Kristy. Where does it need to
24	go?
25	MS. MOYE: Okay. So as I was stating, please recognize

1	that we may not be able to hear from everyone
2	during this meeting, but we do encourage you to
3	continue reaching out to us afterwards. And,
4	thirdly, for the for the ground rules and and
5	the guidelines for the meeting, we ask that you not
6	interrupt a speaker or ask others to respond while
7	you are speaking.
8	So with that, Kristy, I believe that we have
9	the guidelines on the the slide that is shown
10	here. If you would like to speak, if you are
11	joining us by phone, you will press six to unmute
12	indicating that you would like to speak or, when
13	joining by computer, you would use the microphone
14	icon. And, again, we will ask it at certain points
15	as we go over each each one of those broad
16	topics, we will ask if if anyone has any other
17	comments, and this is how you let us know that you
18	have an additional comment.
19	MS. ELLENBERG: Yep. And kind of behind the scenes,
20	that tech team may mute you back just so we can
21	keep the background noise at a minimum so we can
22	hear everyone who's participating, and then we'll
23	make sure we call on you by name. Again, that's
24	if you're joining by phone, it'll be star-six and
25	then by computer use the microphone icon. Maybe



1	before we start having the unmutes, let's see,
2	Justin, you want to talk about that first category
3	that we have: the site-specific concerns?
4	MR. KOON: Sure. So some of the site-specific concerns
5	that we've heard so far, these are ones that DHEC
6	can consider: so, again, the the design
7	requirements; the type of facility; the buffers.
8	So, you know, we've heard a lot about the
9	the buffer to the the drainage ditch and, you
10	know, why isn't it 200 feet? You know, is that a
11	surface water? And we've really been digging into
12	that working with the Town of Hilton Head and other
13	agencies. We'll kind of talk about that, but we've
14	really been digging into: What what is that
15	drainage ditch?
16	The floodplain; allowable materials, so dead
17	animals, asbestos, lead-based paints. Talk a
18	minute about the dead animals. You know, as I
19	mentioned previously, we did get a an official
20	comment by letter from the adjoining airport, and
21	they expressed concerns about dead animals at the
22	site. And so we have or we are looking into
23	updating, adding a permit condition on the permit
24	stating that dead animals would not be allowed at
25	the facility and, you know, if they do happen to



1	come there, then he would have 24 hours to remove
2	remove them and take them to an an
3	appropriate disposal facility.
4	Some other things that we've heard about are
5	an odor plan, a vector control plan. This is
6	something that we've also talked with the airport
7	about, and we've let them know what's in the plan,
8	which is, you know, vectors aren't expected to be a
9	problem but, if they do become an issue, then they
10	would contract with a a pest control company to
11	remedy that. So that was a good discussion that we
12	had with the airport.
13	Contingency plans: So, you know, we've heard
14	a lot about needing to have a contingency plan for
15	a hurricane and other events. And then also the
16	closure plan, we've heard some comments concerning
17	it, as well.
18	(Dogs barking.)
19	MS. ELLENBERG: Justin, my dogs are trying to also
20	encourage you to go ahead to the next slide.
21	MR. KOON: Okay.
22	MS. ELLENBERG: And then we'll unlock the lines.
23	MR. KOON: Okay. Gotcha. We'll all right.
24	So other site-specific concerns that are
25	outside of DHEC's scope: the transportation



1	concerns, you know, whether the the bridges can
2	handle the the increased traffic. You know, I
3	think one of the reasons for the the transfer
4	station is to reduce traffic across that the
5	bridge. You know, we're taking small vehicles that
6	are transporting waste, taking them to a central
7	location, loading them onto a larger vehicle, and
8	taking that to a proper disposal facility.
9	Zoning. The source of the construction and
10	demolition material, so you know, whether or not
11	this material is going to be coming from other
12	locations. You know, we've heard, "Will it be
13	barged over from Daufuskie?" Or, you know, "Can it
14	come from other places within Beaufort County?"
15	"Can it come from places outside of Beaufort
16	County?" And in 1994, there was a court case, and
17	essentially it deemed waste a commodity, and it's
18	part of the Interstate Commerce Act, which
19	essentially says that we can't control the flow of
20	waste, we can't dictate where it comes from.
21	Other things that we've heard about is, you
22	know, the noise that this facility may create, the
23	impact on property values, its proximity to the
24	airport. And, again, we've had the conversation
25	with the airport, and based on the type of material



1	being brought to this facility, they didn't raise
2	any issues with the the type of material coming
3	to the site.
4	On the island versus off the island: So this
5	relates to the location of the facility. You know,
6	"Why is it being located here?" And an
7	environmental impact study, we've been requested to
8	to have one of those. And, you know, typically
9	those are when federal permits are required, an
10	environmental impact study may be part of that
11	process, but no federal permits are required for
12	this project. So we would not be able to do an
13	environmental impact study.
14	MS. ELLENBERG: Thanks for
15	MR. KOON: All right.
16	MS. ELLENBERG: Thanks for sharing that, Justin.
17	MR. KOON: Sure.
18	MS. ELLENBERG: What I hope you can see is that we
19	really have appreciated all the comments and
20	concerns that have been shared. This is just the
21	first of four categories that we're looking at. We
22	have unlocked the lines. That means you now can
23	unmute yourself and that means that we can start
24	kind of trying to see are there some additional
25	site-specific concerns that you want to make sure

1	you're bringing to our attention and shar	ring
2	tonight.	
3	So I see we've had one or two people	e who've
4	already unmuted themselves, and I believe	e our tech
5	team may have muted you back. But we're	going to
6	start with someone joining us by phone;	they are
7	joining us with the last four digits 211	7, and then
8	we'll go to Mr. Carl Hacking (as spoken)	
9	So if the phone caller phone call	ler in the
10	number ending in 2117, if you could unmut	te if you
11	have some additional site-specific conce	rns or
12	questions related to this you'd like to	share.
13	That's that's star-six to unmute if yo	ou're
14	dialing in by phone. So if your phone no	umber is
15	ending in 2117, hit star-six again.	
16	All right. I see some additional pe	eople who
17	are unmuting. Let's see if we can go to	Carl
18	Hacking or Hackling. I'm sorry.	
19	MR. HACKLING: Okay. Thank you.	
20	MS. ELLENBERG: Mr. Hackling?	
21	MR. HACKLING: Yes. Thank you. I have a ques	stion about
22	the drainage ditch.	
23	MR. KOON: Sure.	
24	MR. HACKLING: Is there anywhere in the South	Carolina
25	regulations or statutes that actually de:	fines what



a drainage ditch is versus a stream? 1 2 MR. KOON: I'm not sure. I would definitely have to 3 look into that. You know, typically, I would think 4 of a drainage ditch as a -- a manmade feature, but 5 it's definitely something that we can look into. MR. HACKLING: And is -- who made the determination that 6 it is a drainage ditch? 7 8 MS. ELLENBERG: I think --9 MR. HACKLING: Is that from the --So I guess this better suits the next 10 MR. KOON: Yeah. slide, but the documentation was provided to the 11 Army Corps of Engineers on one of the -- as part of 12 13 their wetlands determination at the site as part of that approved jurisdictional determination. 14 15 -- I'm not sure whether or not that was specifically covered under that determination for 16 17 the 4 acres. 18 MS. ELLENBERG: Yeah. Justin, I think you -- you were 19 aiding our staff to try to sort through a lot of 20 different concerns, and there's some overlap between kind of the -- the big categories that we 21 22 So we did have a little bit of information under that water quality concern. So we'll make 23 sure we try to address that, Mr. Hackling. 24 25 I guess I'm just concerned that MR. HACKLING: Yeah.

1	we're making the determination it's a drainage
2	ditch off the site survey that's been procured by
3	ArborNation (as spoken), and I'm wondering if DHEC
4	will make that determination independently. And
5	I'm also curious: Has anyone from DHEC actually
6	stepped foot on the site?
7	MR. KOON: Sure. So I'm pretty sure our regional
8	inspector Reahnita Tuten has visited the site, yes.
9	As far as the drainage ditch, again, we are
10	continuing to gather information on that. We've
11	requested information from the Town of Hilton Head,
12	which they provided that I think early this
13	morning, and they provided plans showing that that
14	was at least a feature that has been improved upon
15	as part of a drainage remediation project for the
16	the airport. So again, we're continuing to
17	to get information on that drainage ditch and will
18	make a determination based on all the information
19	that we have available to us.
20	MS. MOYE: Thank you, Justin, for that for that
21	response. And thank you, Mr. Hackling, for your
22	question.
23	Just a reminder: We do have several other
24	people who have indicated that they have a question
25	concerning site-specific concerns, and we want to

II	
1	try and get to as many people as we can. So we are
2	going to go ahead and move on at this time. And I
3	believe we have Ms. Rita Etheridge who would like
4	to ask a question and also Lynn Wiggins. So,
5	Ms. Etheridge, would you like to begin with your
6	question?
7	MS. ETHERIDGE: Yes. Thank you. The archeological
8	report that was done, the archeologist found a
9	wetland in the southwest corner of the property.
10	Can you address that? She said it was a low-lying
11	wetland.
12	MR. KOON: Yes, ma'am. So that that was in the
13	report that I provided to you and, you know, it's
14	information that has to be provided to the Corps,
15	and then the Corps makes a determination based on
16	all the information that they have. And,
17	ultimately, the Corps deemed that there were no
18	wetlands on the 4-acre parcel that we're reviewing.
19	MS. ETHERIDGE: But then, when I do the the GIS
20	survey, I did it with the Town of Beaufort, and the
21	gentleman is telling me there are definitely
22	wetlands encroaching on that property.
23	MR. KOON: I mean, I think that's the Corps'
24	determination to make, and the documentation that
25	that we have indicates that there is no wetlands

- 1 located on the -- the 4-acre parcel.
- MS. ETHERIDGE: Well, thank you very much. And thank
- you for all your -- all your help, Justin. You've
- 4 been very kind to answer my questions.
- 5 MR. KOON: Thank you. Yep. I've -- hopefully you've
- found me very responsive to all your -- your
- 7 questions and --
- 8 MS. ETHERIDGE: I have.
- 9 MR. KOON: -- requests for information.
- 10 MS. ETHERIDGE: You have been. Thank you.
- 11 MS. MOYE: Thank you, Ms. Etheridge.
- 12 MS. ETHERIDGE: You're welcome.
- MS. MOYE: So I see that next on the list -- and, again,
- 14 we do have several people who are indicating that
- 15 they would like to make a comment. Right now we
- will go to Lynn Wiggins and then, after that, we
- 17 will go to William Ravenel. Lynn Wiggins is with
- 18 us. Please remember to star-six to unmute your
- line if you're calling or click on the microphone
- on your screen to unmute your line. Lynn?
- 21 MS. ELLENBERG: I don't -- I don't see Lynn Wiggins
- 22 unmuting, Donna.
- 23 MS. MOYE: Okay. Then we'll go ahead and go to
- 24 Mr. Ravenel. I believe I saw him unmute again.
- 25 MR. RAVENEL: Yes. Can you hear me?



1	MS. MOYE: We can. Please go ahead.
2	MR. RAVENEL: Okay. I'm curious about the impermeable
3	pad that is required to be built. Will that cover
4	the whole 4 acres or just the very small portion
5	within the 100-foot barrier or delimitation? And
6	and what is going to keep water from running off
7	that in times of flood? heavy rains? hurricanes?
8	It seems to me that the creek will certainly take
9	some of it; the ditch will whether it's a ditch
10	or a creek is going to turn into a river. What
11	can be done to protect the the wetlands further
12	down?
13	MS. MOYE: Thank you for your question, Mr. Ravenel, and
14	just as a reminder: We will be muting your line
15	back so that we avoid any feedback. So, Justin,
16	would you like to address Mr. Ravenel's question.
17	MR. KOON: Sure. So the operational area itself is the
18	only place that requires the concrete pad. As to
19	your other question, I think Jill Stewart would
20	probably be best to answer that.
21	UNKNOWN: Am I allowed to fly to Colorado? I think it's
22	safe. He'd fly here if it wasn't
23	MS. ELLENBERG: Jill? Jill, you look like you're
24	unmuted. Did you want to add to that question
25	about sounded like stormwater runoff?

1	MS. STEWART: I do. Sorry. I had myself double-muted
2	on my computer. So sorry about that. I will, and
3	then Shannon Hicks is on the line, too, and she's
4	been the one that's been doing the the review
5	and and looking more detailed at the project,
6	too, if she wants to add anything. But I don't
7	know if if Justin can go back to the site layout
8	slide, and I'm going to let him I'll speak, and
9	I'm going to let him show.
10	So there is a stormwater basin infiltration
11	basin that completely there he goes surrounds
12	the site. So that will capture stormwater runoff
13	from the impervious pad and access roads and pretty
14	much the whole area of this site. And it will sit
15	function to to slow the water down before it
16	discharges into those receiving streams. I don't
17	know if Shannon has anything else she wants to add
18	to that, but, in general, there are stormwater
19	controls that that should help manage any flow
20	concerns or overwhelming of of features
21	downstream from the site.
22	MS. HICKS: I don't and this is Shannon Hicks. I
23	don't have too much to add. I know that there's
24	design standards at the at the local level that
25	even exceed the state design level standards. So,



1	yes, as Jill stated, that infiltration basin is
2	designed to handle the stormwater runoff from the
3	site.
4	MS. MOYE: Thanks, Shannon. I do know we have a number
5	of people who have wanted to be called on. Let's
6	let's hold on for just a minute before other
7	people unmute. Let's try to catch up a little bit.
8	And, Justin, just we did have that kind of
9	second category was was water quality
10	concerns. Maybe we can touch on that, and then
11	we'll go back and try to get some of the other
12	people who have already indicated they wanted to
13	share and wanted to speak as well. Since we seem
14	to have a lot of these water quality concerns
15	coming up
16	MR. KOON: Sure.
17	MS. MOYE: related to the site.
18	MR. KOON: Yeah. So like we've been mentioning, the
19	water quality concerns the ones that we've
20	heard: you know, the location of the transfer
21	station being located in a floodplain; the
22	proximity of the facility to Fish Haul Creek;
23	again, the buffer for the ditch on the adjacent
24	property; stormwater runoff; and then contact
25	water. And then we've also noted here the role of

II	
1	the US Army Corps of Engineers, and they make
2	determinations on whether features are waters of
3	the US, and then they also are responsible for
4	doing wetlands delineations or wetlands
5	determinations.
6	MS. ELLENBERG: So, yeah, I think this is kind of going
7	along with some of the questions and concerns we've
8	already heard. Let's go back so between site-
9	specific concerns and water quality concerns, I
10	know we were trying to reach Lynn (technical
11	interruption) again, if you could hold off on
12	unmuting for a moment. Let's get some of the
13	people that we've called on, and then we do have
14	I see Mr. Fisher who just unmuted. We have you as
15	well.
16	So let's start with Lynn Wiggins first,
17	though. I believe Ms. Wiggins was trying to speak
18	earlier. And then we're going to go to Karen
19	Simpson, and is it Linda Besserer?
20	MS. WIGGINS: This is Lynn this is Lynn Wiggins. Can
21	you hear me now?
22	MS. ELLENBERG: Yes. We've got you, Lynn. Thank you so
23	much.
24	MS. WIGGINS: Yay. Okay. I think I had done something
25	here. First of all, thank you so much for all

1	you're doing to bring this to the public's
2	attention and hear our concerns. Going back and
3	I know that Justin's been very responsive to me and
4	my e-mails to him, but I just want to return to the
5	area, not so much on the property, which I
6	recognize has been examined and a judicial
7	determination made by the Army Corps of Engineers,
8	but on the surrounding area, which includes the
9	ditch and the wetlands and the buffering the
10	200-foot buffering that is entailed there. And
11	that's very (technical interruption) not getting
12	the sense that that particularly that ditch but
13	also the wetlands and the actual border of the
14	wetlands have been looked at in great detail to
15	determine because that is truly where a problem
16	will arise if it arises.
17	And there are some like federal definitions of
18	what is a ditch, you know, and it must be
19	constructed or extravagated extravastated I'm
20	sorry excavated channel of water, and if you
21	look at the site maps on this, there are like four
22	large pines sitting in the middle of this ditch.
23	So I find that really hard to believe that it truly
24	is a ditch. And I just what are you going to
25	use what criteria will you use to determine if



1	that is a ditch? Because, if it isn't a ditch,
2	then it pretty makes pretty much makes the
3	transfer station site very, very small and probably
4	unusable.
5	MR. KOON: Sure. We definitely hear you. So I I
6	I definitely know our Bureau of Water will be
7	heavily involved in assisting us in making a
8	determination on whether it's a ditch or a creek,
9	you know, exactly what that feature is. You know,
10	we can also rely upon the US Army Corps of
11	Engineers to make a determination on whether it's a
12	waters of the US, and that would assist us in
13	making a determination. So we are, you know,
14	again, continuing to look into that feature to make
15	a determination as to exactly what it is, and if
16	it's determined not to be a drainage ditch, then he
17	will be required to provide the 200-foot buffer to
18	that feature, which, yes, as you noted, it it
19	could make the site much smaller as to where he can
20	locate that operational area.
21	MS. ELLENBERG: And, again, this is where being able to
22	have these conversations and share concerns is
23	really important to us as we continue our research
24	and our work in this area. So I think we have
25	Karen Simpson and then Linda Besserer. I hope I'm



1	not messing up a name too badly there.
2	Karen, did you want to share a concern or
3	clarify a concern? Karen Simpson. Again, if
4	you're joining by computer, you use your microphone
5	icon to unmute, or by phone hit star-six. Our tech
6	team says you're trying to unmute. We're going to
7	we're going to come back to you, Karen. I want
8	to make sure we're hearing from as many people as
9	we can tonight. How about and, Karen, if you're
10	having trouble getting sound to come through, you
11	may want to use that call-in number, and we can try
12	to get you by phone with the star-six.
13	How about Linda Besserer?
14	MS. BESSERER: Hi. Thank you for giving me a minute to
15	voice some of my concerns. I've reviewed the US
16	EPA manual just for decision making for a west
17	waste transfer station. And in their in their
18	site selection section, they mention many of the
19	things that DHEC says they cannot consider, but the
20	EPA says those are very significant things that
21	should be considered. So how do you make the
22	decision, as an agency who's stated mission
23	statement is to improve our quality of life for all
24	South Carolinians and to protect and promote the
25	health of us and our environment? How do you, as



- 1	1		
	1		an agency, make that decision to exclude those
	2		things? And by "those things," I mean traffic and
	3		noise and air emissions and and it looks like
	4		the storm or the water runoff and the effects of
	5		barging things in and dropping contaminants in the
	6		water during transfer. It looks like those things
	7		are not being seriously considered.
	8	MR.	KOON: Sure. So part of that EPA document, you
	9		know, it's mainly geared towards/focused on
	10		municipal solid waste, as that's what they regulate
	11		through their program. This being a a C&D
	12		transfer station, you know, a lot of those concerns
	13		are not as great.
	14	MS.	ELLENBERG: So that's a different type of facility
	15		or classification, Justin?
	16	MR.	KOON: Yes. MSW is municipal solid waste, so
	17		household garbage. You know, it has a lot more
	18		concerns with it than C&D material.
	19	MS.	ELLENBERG: And I know we we use a lot of
	20		acronyms at DHEC. So C&D would be construction and
	21		demolition.
	22	MR.	KOON: Construction and demolition debris material.
	23	MS.	ELLENBERG: Okay. Right.
	24	MR.	KOON: Yeah. Thank you, Kristy. And, you know, the
	25		our regulations are



1	MS. BESSERER: They are specifically addressing
2	MR. KOON: Our our regulations is what we use in
3	making the determinations for transfer stations.
4	MS. ELLENBERG: So that would be state regulations?
5	MR. KOON: Yep. The state regs.
6	MS. ELLENBERG: Okay. All right. Thank you so much. I
7	want to make sure we keep moving forward. It looks
8	like Linda might be trying to jump back in, but we
9	do need to move along. I see (audio
10	interference) I'm going to wait for us to get
11	there we go. Thank you.
12	We've got someone with the phone number ending
13	in 8384. We're going to use star-six to unmute
14	your line, and then James Fisher. I know you were
15	going to speak to us earlier. Let's make sure we
16	get back to Mr. Fisher after the phone number
17	ending in 8384. If you could use star-six. And it
18	sounds like 8384 may have had some feedback, so I'm
19	going to mute my line to see if that can help.
20	I see the line unmuted ending in 8384. Let's
21	see if we can keep moving to to James Fisher,
22	and we'll come back to that line and try to help
23	you be able to to share in just a moment. James
24	Fisher, are you ready?
25	MR. FISHER: Can you hear me?



- 1 MR. KOON: Yes.
- MS. YON: Mr. Fisher, are -- do you have two devices on
- 3 currently? We're getting some feedback when you
- 4 unmute.
- 5 MR. FISHER: Can you hear me now?
- 6 MS. YON: Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. KOON: Yes.
- 8 MR. FISHER: My question -- (audio interference) --
- 9 MR. KOON: So if you're on the phone and the computer,
- 10 you need to mute one of the lines.
- 11 MS. YON: Or if you have two devices maybe in the same
- 12 room that are both logged into the meeting, what
- 13 we're getting through your microphone is the -- the
- 14 volume of the meeting from the other device. So
- 15 maybe try -- try one of those, and see if we can --
- 16 MR. FISHER: Can you hear me now?
- 17 MS. YON: Yes, sir.
- 18 MR. KOON: Yes.
- 19 MS. YON: No echo.
- 20 MS. ELLENBERG: So much better. Thanks, Katherine.
- Okay, Mr. Fisher. Oh, Mr. Fisher. We're so close
- to being able to hear you. I want to make sure we
- 23 capture your comment.
- 24 MS. YON: Looks like he's unmuted again, and now he's
- 25 gone.



MS. ELLENBERG: Okay. Maybe he will be joining us by 1 2 phone and we can use star-six to get to Mr. Fisher. 3 Let's -- we do have a number of people who've joined by phone. Let's make sure we're -- we're 4 5 trying to reach those. We have a phone number ending in 5256 and then 3679 will be next. 6 Again, when joining by phone if you'd use 7 8 star-six to unmute. MR. SIMPSON: 9 Hello. 10 MS. ELLENBERG: Hey. We can hear you. This is Brad Simpson calling, no 11 MR. SIMPSON: Okay. 12 relation to Karen. I wanted to ask if we had any 13 understanding of what the implications were when Hurricane Matthew came through four years ago and 14 15 -- in that area and what kind of issues there might have been with flooding of that ditch and the 16 17 drainage into Fish Haul Creek. My -- my assumption is it was pretty severe. 18 19 So are you asking, Mr. Simpson, about if we 20 experience that type of a hurricane again what the effects might would be for this site? 21 22 MS. ELLENBERG: Justin, do you want to touch on that? 23 know --Yes. That's correct. 24 MR. SIMPSON: So, you know, having listened to the 25 MR. KOON: Sure.

1		
1		concerns from before, you know, that's one thing
2		that we're planning to go back to the applicant to
3		request. You know, what what would his plan be
4		pending a hurricane or pending a an evacuation
5		order of Hilton Head Island? So we're hoping that
6		the that risk will be minimized through that
7		updated plan. But I'm not sure what the water
8		levels were on the island in this area as a result
9		of Hurricane Matthew. But that is information that
10		we're planning to request from the applicant.
11	MS.	ELLENBERG: And I know we had seen some additional
12		concerns in the previous meeting about contingency
13		plans when there is a a tropical system coming
14		through.
15	MR.	KOON: Yes.
16	MS.	ELLENBERG: Right. Donna, who do we have next? I
17		believe we had a a phone ending in 3679?
18	MS.	MOYE: That's correct. The caller with the call
19		with the last four digits 3679, we will go to you.
20	MR.	MEEHAN: Hey. This is Paul Meehan. Can you hear
21		me?
22	MS.	ELLENBERG: Yes, sir.
23	MR.	MEEHAN: I end I end in 3679.
24	MS.	MOYE: Oh, okay. Thank you, Mr. Meehan. Please go
25		ahead.



1	MR. MEEHAN: All right. One of the topics we talked
2	about in the last meeting was the current
3	regulations by which you're evaluating this permit,
4	and they were noted that they're 28 years old,
5	don't know who wrote them, how they were generated,
6	when they've been reviewed. We haven't heard any
7	answers on that. Have you had a chance to look at
8	that?
9	Before you answer that question, also last
10	week or two weeks ago I stated that: Have those
11	regulations that we're basing our safety on have
12	they been lab tested? experience tested? What
13	worked? What didn't work? We have I couldn't
14	tell by your map what kind of margin of error.
15	There was no scale on it. You know, what margin of
16	error do we have? It's very very small.
17	You know, and if our regulations are that old,
18	not reviewed, not tested, no lessons learned from
19	prior experiences, how do we know these regulations
20	are, in fact, sufficient enough? And like another
21	caller brought up is that I I compare these to
22	EPA guidelines, and more than just one or two PDFs
23	and I beg to differ. Many of these do relate to
24	solid waste as well as construction and demolition.
25	They may not say "Class Two," but they're specific



to this type of waste, and they don't seem to be consistent with EPA guidelines. So therefore I hear things like in the basin thing they're considering that it "should" be good enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	So therefore I hear things like in the basin thing they're considering that it "should" be good enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.		
So therefore I hear things like in the basin thing they're considering that it "should" be good enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	So therefore I hear things like in the basin thing they're considering that it "should" be good enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	1	to this type of waste, and they don't seem to be
thing they're considering that it "should" be good enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	thing they're considering that it "should" be good enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	2	consistent with EPA guidelines.
enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	3	So therefore I hear things like in the basin
need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	need things like not "should be," that the airport shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	4	thing they're considering that it "should" be good
shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	shouldn't have problems with this, it should be an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	5	enough. What happens if it's not good enough? We
an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	an answer should be "We have no doubt that these are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	6	need things like not "should be," that the airport
are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	are going to be sufficient. They've been tested, know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	7	shouldn't have problems with this, it should be
And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	know that they know that they're sufficient." And finally, if we don't if those regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	8	an answer should be "We have no doubt that these
11 And finally, if we don't if those 12 regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or 13 better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on 14 our hands and we have to return that site back to 15 its original state after a disaster, much like Able 16 in Okatie, who's going to pay for that 17 reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's 18 into the millions of dollars for Able. 19 So I don't have confidence yet in that 20 you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or 21 this meeting, that you have any confidence in your 22 regulations that we're basing our environment and 23 safety on. Thank you.	11 And finally, if we don't if those 12 regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or 13 better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on 14 our hands and we have to return that site back to 15 its original state after a disaster, much like Able 16 in Okatie, who's going to pay for that 17 reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's 18 into the millions of dollars for Able. 19 So I don't have confidence yet in that 20 you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or 21 this meeting, that you have any confidence in your 22 regulations that we're basing our environment and 23 safety on. Thank you. 24 MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	9	are going to be sufficient. They've been tested,
regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	10	know that they know that they're sufficient."
better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	11	And finally, if we don't if those
our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	our hands and we have to return that site back to its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	12	regulations aren't in you know, equivalent to or
its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	its original state after a disaster, much like Able in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	13	better than EPA, then should we have a disaster on
in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	in Okatie, who's going to pay for that reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	14	our hands and we have to return that site back to
reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	15	its original state after a disaster, much like Able
into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	into the millions of dollars for Able. So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	16	in Okatie, who's going to pay for that
So I don't have confidence yet in that you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	19 So I don't have confidence yet in that 20 you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or 21 this meeting, that you have any confidence in your 22 regulations that we're basing our environment and 23 safety on. Thank you. 24 MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	17	reconstruction of the site? Because we know it's
you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	18	into the millions of dollars for Able.
this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	this meeting, that you have any confidence in your regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	19	So I don't have confidence yet in that
regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you.	regulations that we're basing our environment and safety on. Thank you. MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	20	you've been able to tell me so far last meeting or
23 safety on. Thank you.	23 safety on. Thank you. 24 MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	21	this meeting, that you have any confidence in your
	MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.	22	regulations that we're basing our environment and
MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.		23	safety on. Thank you.
	25 There was a lot packed in there. So I would I	24	MS. MOYE: Thank you, Mr. Meehan, for those questions.
25 There was a lot packed in there. So I would I		25	There was a lot packed in there. So I would I



1	did hear very clearly your concern with the
2	regulations and when they were developed and how
3	are we sure that that they are going to be
4	protective enough of the environment. So if Juli
5	Juli, would you like to address that?
6	MS. BLALOCK: Sure. Because there are several issues
7	that Mr. Meehan has brought up that I'll try to
8	address all of them. I do want to clarify, I
9	guess, the question you have about lab tested or
10	experience tested, and we can kind of talk about
11	that.
12	Yes. Our regulations are that old. As far as
13	experience with transfer stations, I've been here
14	at the agency 25 of those 28 years since those
15	regulations have been used. We have permitted
16	numerous municipal solid waste and what we call
17	"Class Two waste," construction and demolition
18	landfills over the years with minimal problems. I
19	mean, obviously, you know, there are big
20	differences between a transfer station that has
21	municipal solid waste and C&D, and I know everybody
22	had a lot of questions about like a permeable
23	surface and what about the runoff.
24	And EPA even addresses this in some of their
25	documents, and I just want to say as an aside, I



1	know Ms. Besserer and you have both referenced EPA
2	decision-making documents. Please send those to
3	us. I mean, we would really like to see and to see
4	what some of that guidance is. As we stated
5	probably on the September 10th meeting, we as the
6	state of South Carolina regulate Subtitle D, or
7	municipal solid waste landfills, per the
8	requirements of EPA. We're a delegated state;
9	we've been an approved state. So our regulations
10	from EPA are limited to MSW landfills or household
11	landfills.
12	All of the other solid waste regulations that
13	the state has promulgated has been our choice. You
14	know, there are some states that have very minimal
15	regulations for transfer stations, but we feel like
16	those regulations that we have, have worked over
17	the years. I do want to make the point that
18	municipal solid waste, that any rainfall or liquid
19	that comes in contact with municipal solid waste is
20	considered leachate and has to be managed as such
21	in a transfer station. Water that comes in contact
22	with construction and demolition debris is
23	considered a contact water that can go into
24	stormwater because of the difference in, I guess,
25	the constituents, obviously, that are in household



II	
1	garbage versus construction and demolition debris.
2	But, again, please send us the EPA information.
3	We'd like to look over that, see if there's
4	anything going forward that when we do go to
5	promulgate or update our
6	UNKNOWN: Sure. This is my
7	MS. BLALOCK: Excuse me?
8	MS. YON: It was just a a rogue unmuted line, Juli.
9	MS. BLALOCK: Okay.
10	MS. ELLENBERG: We'll come back to that, yeah.
11	MS. BLALOCK: Okay. But, no, we would like to see the
12	EPA guidelines because the way regulations are
13	promulgated here at DHEC is there's two well,
14	there's two ways we can change regulations or
15	statute. So if we want to change our Solid Waste
16	Management Act and add something in about a
17	particular solid waste facility, that can be done
18	by a bill being introduced over at the Statehouse.
19	As far as DHEC changing making changes to
20	regulations, that is a process that that we go
21	through where, when we know that things need to be
22	updated or there have been concerns, we make
23	suggested changes. We bring in stakeholders from
24	all entities: you know, communities, industry, I
25	mean, every aspect that could be part have some

1	concern, environmental groups. And we hear what
2	they say. You know, obviously, we'll we'll put
3	together what we think would be the best regulation
4	package to take forward, and then, you know, we
5	take it over to the Statehouse and hope keep our
6	fingers crossed and hope that we get it passed.
7	So, you know, maybe something in this EPA
8	guidelines would help us going forward when we do
9	begin, I guess, updating or amending the transfer
10	station regulations. Because y'all have brought
11	about so much information in this process. As
12	we've said, we've never had this much interest in a
13	transfer station, like I said, in my 25 years here.
14	So you're bringing up some valid points that we
15	really would want to consider in the stakeholder
16	process moving forward if we change the
17	regulations.
18	MS. MOYE: Thanks, Juli. Justin, I want to make sure
19	we're having some great questions and great
20	concerns being shared. I do want to make sure we
21	share those additional concerns we heard two weeks
22	ago to kind of help frame the discussion a little
23	bit further. And I do see we have about ten people
24	who have already said they want to speak. So maybe
25	what we could do is real quickly kind of cover



II	
1	those other two broad categories a little bit, and
2	then we'll go back to trying to catch back up and
3	some of those who have been trying to speak before
4	and make sure we get to those other ten that we
5	have that have already said they want to be part of
6	the conversation.
7	MR. KOON: Sure. So concerns with the operations, the
8	compliance, and inspections. Some of the topics
9	that we've heard there: You know, the tonnage
10	allowed, you know, how is that determined? And
11	really, it's kind of a factor of how much waste the
12	applicant feels he can manage at the site and how
13	much financial assurance is provided for the site.
14	The hours of operation, you know, we did take
15	a look back at all the documentation that we have.
16	The the zoning letter says that they can operate
17	from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m., which is a ten-hour day.
18	And it appears in our application that he indicated
19	from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. and said a ten-hour day. So,
20	you know, that's some clarifying information that
21	we need from the applicant, whether or not he plans
22	to operate from 8 to 4 or 8 to 6.
23	The allowable materials, again, we we
24	talked about that previously.
25	Financial assurance: This is money that



1	that the applicant has to provide to DHEC in the
2	case that he's not able to properly close the site;
3	there would be money set aside for the the
4	agency to go in and and get that material
5	cleaned up. We also heard about, you know, what
6	types of operator training are required, the types
7	of monitoring that are required you know, is
8	there any groundwater monitoring or air monitoring
9	the frequency of inspections, the history of the
10	applicant, and then the source of construction and
11	demolition material.
12	All right. And then I think this is the final
13	pod, but the permitting and decision-making
14	process. So, again, we we do have two separate
15	applications before us. Tonight we're discussing
16	the the transfer station. We also have a a
17	composting application and then, you know, some
18	other permits that are required; a Coastal
19	Stormwater Section would issue a construction
20	stormwater permit. We as part of that process,
21	we also have the Ocean And Coastal Resource
22	Management, OCRM, and they issue a coastal zone
23	consistency determination. So all all of these
24	really go into, you know, the permitting of the
25	facility.



MS. ELLENBERG: And, Justin, I think this just reflects 1 2 a lot of the information that we've had, again, in 3 the meeting two weeks ago, the Q&A two weeks ago, and -- and a lot of the follow-up conversations 4 5 that you've had. 6 MR. KOON: Yes. 7 MS. ELLENBERG: So with that, I want to make sure we're 8 still capturing those additional concerns, and then 9 we'll try to move to -- to general questions as It looks like the phone ending in 8384 has 10 well. 11 come back to us and maybe looks ready to talk. Oh, and now it looks muted. 12 looks unmuted. 13 hope that -- if you can use star-six again with the phone number ending in 8384, let's see if we can --14 15 can get that number to --16 MR. PARK: Can you hear me? MS. ELLENBERG: Yes. And if you can share your name 17 with us, that would be great. 18 19 My name is Dave Park, and I had two questions because one was kind of like caveat about the 20 airport's -- airport property versus the regular 21 22 property and whether or not the building -- instead of having the pad, there should be a building. 23 I'm assuming this is not actually on airport 24 25 property, so does it fall underneath the airport

1		rules so it needs to have an enclosed building?
2		That's Question Number 1.
3	MR.	KOON: Sure. So this isn't located on the airport
4		property; it's on property that was owned by the
5		Town of Hilton Head on Summit Drive.
6	MR.	PARK: All right. So it's not on so you you
7		basically answered my question. It's not airport
8		property, so this building needs to be enclosed
9		then, I assume, according to your rules.
10	MR.	KOON: So, again, you know, the type of waste that
11		this is construction and demolition debris we
12		don't view it as needing a building.
13	MR.	PARK: Okay. The reason I bring it up is because
14		I've been in these things before in San Rafael,
15		California, and there's quite a bit of dust, and
16		basically what they do is they have misters in the
17		ceilings that basically drop all the drop all
18		the dust down to cut the dust down, but it's just
19		basically it's choking dust just to be in that
20		building. And, you know, you've got 10 mile-an-
21		hour winds, that all that all that debris is
22		going to be floating, you know, hundreds of feet
23		out, you know, from that location onto other
24		people's property and accumulating, and some of
25		that's going to be lead because it's going to be
11		

II	
1	from paint old paint and construction debris.
2	UNKNOWN: And asbestos is part of it.
3	MS. ELLENBERG: So those are concerns over dust and the
4	type of debris that would be at this facility.
5	All right. I appreciate you being able to
6	to share that with us. I do believe Karen Simpson
7	has also rejoined us. I know she was trying to
8	to participate earlier. Karen, can you unmute?
9	MR. MITELMAN: Hello?
10	MS. ELLENBERG: Hey, how are you?
11	MR. MITELMAN: Yeah. My name is Michael Mitelman. I
12	live in Port Royal Plantation, and I've been trying
13	to get to this meeting from the very beginning, but
14	I found the wrong code. And until an hour I spent
15	to call to find the proper code, that's why I just
16	connected, and the reason is obvious of I didn't
17	hear previous conversation. My point is very
18	simple: I really carefully, I watched or I
19	read the ArborNature Transfer Station question, and
20	my question, Number 1: Did you discuss ever that
21	Hilton Head is a resort and the resort when
22	people coming almost from California, and these
23	people as soon as they will know that we have trash
24	and garbage met at the airport, they will probably
25	stop coming here. That's Number 1.



1	But Number 2 is I happen to be in my past
1	
2	life, I happen to be professional engineer in
3	electric power, and I know for certain that there
4	are certain materials like asbestos are
5	categorically prohibited. I don't know who discuss
6	it or who's not. I used to work for General
7	Electric, and one of the problems we had across the
8	world was usage of material containing asbestos,
9	okay, and which causes cancer and lots of people
10	are afraid of even touch it, not of be next to a
11	disposal facilities which using asbestos. Did
12	anyone address it?
13	MS. ELLENBERG: So thank you for joining us,
14	Mr. Mitelman. Justin, I believe this is asking
15	about kind of the types of materials and
16	specifically asbestos. Is that something you can
17	clarify a little bit for us?
18	MR. KOON: Sure. So asbestos we kind of talked about
19	that a little bit last time. You know, if
20	somebody's having work done on their house, they
21	have an abatement contractor come in and assess
22	what type of or if there's any asbestos with the
23	project that they're doing. That that asbestos
24	has to be taken to a a permitted disposal
25	facility, so it gets properly abated and bagged and



1	taken to a proper disposal facility. It cannot go
2	to the transfer station.
3	You know, but kind of ancillary asbestos: You
4	know, somebody's doing a a home project and
5	they're not restricted to the same or they're
6	not held to the same standard that an abatement
7	contractor would be, and so, you know, some of that
8	ancillary asbestos may end up at the facility, and
9	it is approved Class Two waste.
10	MS. MOYE: So, Justin, just for clarification for for
11	the abatement, does it have to be the asbestos,
12	does it have to be packaged a certain way if it
13	comes into the transfer station?
14	MR. KOON: No. Not the you know, not material that
15	would be generated by somebody doing their own
16	work. That I don't think there's any
17	requirement that they have to handle it in a
18	certain way. But if an abatement contractor comes
19	and does the work, you know, it's got to be
20	properly bagged and taken to a disposal facility.
21	UNKNOWN: So it can float around?
22	UNKNOWN: Yeah.
23	MS. ELLENBERG: All right. We see a lot of lines
24	unmuting. Justin, did you want to add any more to
25	the asbestos question?



1 MR. KOON: I don't think so. I I know he also asked	
2 whether or not we considered, you know, the the	
3 fact that this is a resort island. And it you	
4 know, typically, that's handled through local	
5 zoning and what kind of land uses they want as part	
6 of their island or as part of their approved	
7 activities. And, also, you know, right next door	
8 to this facility is the town's convenience center,	
9 so it's where everybody takes their municipal solid	
10 waste and construction and demolition debris	
11 currently.	
12 MS. ELLENBERG: And I do believe you you shared early	
on that this was something that has gone through	
14 the local zoning process as well?	
15 MR. KOON: Yes.	
16 MS. ELLENBERG: Okay.	
17 MR. KOON: We have approval letters from the Town of	
18 Hilton Head saying that this is an approved use on	
19 this property, which is zoned light industrial.	
20 MS. ELLENBERG: Okay. Thanks,	
21 MR. KOON: Uh-huh.	
22 MS. ELLENBERG: Let's see if we can get back to some of	
23 those who've been trying to to join our	
24 conversation to share some more concerns and	
25 questions. We have a phone ending in 5536 and then	

1	we're going to go to Mr. Greg Lyons. So if you're
2	calling by phone ending in 5536, if you could use
3	star-six to unmute.
4	I'm not seeing that phone number right now.
5	Let's let's see if we can go to Greg Lyons. Is
6	Mr. Lyons still available?
7	MR. LYONS: Yes, I'm here. Can you hear me?
8	MS. ELLENBERG: Yes. Thanks, Greg.
9	MR. LYONS: Awesome. Thank you for taking the time to
10	take my question. Without trying to sound too
11	repetitious here, I am going to refer to the South
12	Carolina Regulation Chapter 61, Section 107,
13	Subsection 7, which talks about solid waste
14	management and the transfer of solid waste. And
15	there's a lot of discrepancies that I've noticed in
16	between the slides that are presented on your
17	presentation tonight and some of the documents that
18	have been available for download on your website on
19	the DHEC website.
20	So I won't go on and on about those, but I
21	will talk about one that I found, and that's two of
22	the storage areas that are located outside of that
23	100-foot operational buffer zone are shown on both
24	the map the current updated site map that's on
25	your website and Slide Number 10 of this



II .	
1	presentation that was presented to us tonight. And
2	my question is: Why, of the total of seven
3	woodchip and debris storage areas, are these two
4	allowed to operate outside that 100-foot buffer
5	zone, which is my understanding to be the active
6	area. There it is. So the two green ones on the
7	right of that slide are actually outside of that
8	blue line which represents the 100-foot buffer
9	zone.
10	MR. KOON: Sure. So the composting facility has its own
11	buffers. We just showed the the location of the
12	the proposed composting piles on this slide just
13	to kind of to show where those would be located,
14	but there are different buffers that are required
15	for those piles. They're not restricted to that
16	100-foot buffer. But
17	MS. MOYE: Justin, this this might be a good time,
18	too it seems like I recall that we did we had
19	a a wrong regulation posted on the website or we
20	had replaced one or something. That might lead to
21	some of what Mr. Lyons is asking about.
22	MR. KOON: Well, we had the the landfill reg
23	specifically referenced, which the composting reg
24	is 61-107.4. And so, again, those those green
25	boxes would be reviewed under 61-107.4. C&D



1	material would not be allowed to be placed in those
2	green areas. The the the C&D material is
3	only to be located I'm going to highlight it
4	real quick in the purple area here. If it's on
5	the ground, it has to be located in that purple
6	area. They have a couple trailer areas off to the
7	side that may have may be loaded with C&D debris
8	that can be taken to a landfill.
9	MS. MOYE: Okay. Thank you for that. I just wanted to
10	acknowledge that, if someone had seen a reg and
11	then went back later to look at it again and and
12	it wasn't here, I just wanted us to acknowledge the
13	fact that we had recognized an error and had
14	replaced that since the last meeting, I believe.
15	MR. KOON: Yeah. Well, I think the that landfill reg
16	was referenced so that people could see the
17	material that could be taken as part of the you
18	know, it it lists what all the construction and
19	demolition debris is; it's found in our landfill
20	reg, which is why it was referenced. And we added
21	a reference or a link to the transfer station
22	reg a little bit later on.
23	MS. MOYE: Okay.
24	MR. KOON: But yeah.
25	MS. MOYE: Justin, I I know that we we sometimes

recognize where we have caused confusion and -- and 1 2 certainly do appreciate the opportunity to -- to 3 clarify that for Mr. Lyons. So --4 MR. KOON: Yep. 5 Thank you for that question, Mr. Lyons. MS. MOYE: I do want us to continue on. We do have many more 6 7 people who have indicated that they would like to 8 ask a question. So can we get to the -- the phone caller with the last four digits of 2263? 9 caller with the last four digits of 2263, if you 10 11 will unmute your line, you can ask a question. MS. ELLENBERG: That's star-six to unmute. And, Donna, 12 we had another phone caller ending in -- well, I 13 mean we've got 2263 is here. I believe they're 14 15 trying to unmute with star-six. MR. MITELMAN: Hello? 16 Hello. 17 MS. MOYE: MS. ELLENBERG: Hello. Can you share your -- your name 18 19 and then your question or concern with us, sir? 20 MR. MITELMAN: Well, I -- I gave my name before. It was 21 some problem with connection. My name is Michael 22 Mitelman. I am -- I live at Port Royal Plantation on Oak Creek. And it's -- I don't know -- 200 23 yards or 300 yards from the place where all this 24 25 trash will be. So I ask question about asbestos.

	1	And I ask my first question about resort of Hilton
	2	Head, what impression it may have we have about
	3	million people, if not more, coming to town. And
	4	does anyone have a feeling what it causes for
	5	anybody who is coming here or wants to buy a
	6	property to see all this tracks and our people real
	7	estate will have to tell or show, at least, where
	8	all this trash and all these facilities are coming.
	9	They will be right next to the airport. Does
:	10	anybody concern about it, or it's just makes no
:	11	difference and we just standing on strictly formal
:	12	position because our regulations permit all this
-	13	trash including asbestos and lead and so on? Is
-	14	is that the question? Can anybody answer to me?
-	15	MR. KOON: I think, for the sake of time, we're going to
-	16	say that we provided that answer. And, you know,
-	17	if if you happen to drop off, you may have to go
-	18	back and listen to the recording.
-	19	MS. ELLENBERG: So, again, Justin, the the recording
2	20	from the previous meeting and this meeting are
2	21	well, one's already on our website and this one
2	22	will be available probably in a few days, and then
	23	we'll have other ways that you can continue to
2	24	provide comment and question as well.
	25	I do know we've had a number of phone numbers



II	
1	that have unmuted. We want to try to get to some
2	of those, and then we also want to go to Lance
3	Pyle. So we're going to we're going to try to
4	get to the phone number ending in 9102, then Lance
5	Pyle, and then it's Rita Etheridge, I believe.
6	UNKNOWN: Remind us how to get in line, please.
7	MS. ELLENBERG: We're we're when you unmute, we
8	are actually trying to take note of that in our
9	tech team, and then we're remuting you until we can
10	can call on you. So we're just trying to make
11	sure that we have kind of one line at a time
12	unmuting so we that we don't get some of those
13	feedback loops that we've had.
14	So again, we were looking for a phone number
15	ending in 9102 that had previously unmuted. If you
16	can use star-six to unmute again. Okay. How about
17	Lance Pyle? Mr. Pyle, are you able to to
18	unmute? I know you were part of our discussion two
19	weeks ago, I believe.
20	MR. PYLE: Yes. Can you hear me?
21	MS. ELLENBERG: Yes.
22	MR. PYLE: First, I'd like to thank you guys for the
23	opportunity to speak this evening. A couple
24	questions or a question I have is related to the
25	material being accepted. I understand this is



1	construction debris. I understand the the moat
2	that's now being proposed to go around the area and
3	that that'll eventually overflow into the drainage
4	channel shown in the green dotted line. (Technical
5	interruption) work in the debris that gets put in
6	there? I recognize that there are scrap wood
7	materials and other demolition items that go in
8	there, but there's also municipal waste or solid
9	waste that ends up in those containers. When that
10	gets put onto the site, how is that being
11	processed? How are paint cans that are tossed into
12	these dumpsters processed? What level of leaching
13	into the water source and into the runoff areas is
14	is being used to protect those areas from
15	from that type of trash? The other side of that is
16	is: How much material is is is required
17	to be moved based on the amount of material coming
18	in? If they're bringing in 240 tons of material in
19	a day, how often does 240 tons of material have to
20	leave? Is there a percentage of that material
21	that's required to leave, or is it is it can
22	it sit for any period of time?
23	MR. KOON: All right. Sure. So, you know, based on the
24	financial assurance mechanism that he's proposing,
25	he can leave up to 240 tons at the site. So, you



1	know, if he has 240 tons at the site and he brings
2	in 240 tons the next day, 240 tons has to leave.
3	So he he's the maximum amount of material
4	that he can have on his site at any one time is 240
5	tons per the permit.
6	Speaking to the I guess the ancillary MSW
7	waste that comes to the site, you know, that's part
8	of, I guess, his operator training and being able
9	to identify material that isn't acceptable at the
10	the facility. He's supposed to remove that
11	within 24 hours and, you know, as soon as he
12	identifies it, place it in a a covered container
13	and take that to an appropriate disposal facility.
14	MS. ELLENBERG: So, yeah, there were questions on the
15	the type of waste. I think there were also some
16	questions about paint cans, and, you know, Justin,
17	I'm always going to try to grab you when you're
18	saying acronyms, so MSW is municipal solid waste,
19	right?
20	MR. KOON: Yes. Yep.
21	MS. ELLENBERG: Okay. All right. Let's see if we can
22	capture some some additional comments and
23	questions. I I know we've had some people who
24	are unmuting who we've already heard from. I think
25	we want to make sure that we're hearing from those

1	who who haven't participated yet first. So I'm
2	going to see. We have a a phone number ending
3	in 3933 and then we'll go to a phone number ending
4	in 1623. So that phone number ending in 3933, if
5	you could use star-six to unmute.
6	MR. FISHER: Hello. Can you hear me?
7	MS. ELLENBERG: Yes. If you could share your name and
8	your question or concern.
9	MR. FISHER: My name is Jim Fisher, and I'd like to
10	follow up on some of the the DHEC responses
11	concerning the water runoff from this site into
12	Fish Haul Creek, which runs into our community Port
13	Royal, into our Millers Pond, and out to our
14	beaches. I think there is a wonderful ballerina
15	dance going on that you are saying that this site
16	is not in the wetlands. If you put your map up
17	and we have seen this map before when the airport
18	construction was going on there's a whole lot of
19	gray areas. These areas are wetlands, and we were
20	told that nothing could be built in these wetlands.
21	Yes. There is a little, tiny parcel in the middle,
22	which I might call an "island." And this site is,
23	in fact the C&D disposal site is, in fact,
24	surrounded and I tell you surrounded by
25	wetlands.



1	Now, what does that mean? This site is not in
2	wetlands because it's surrounded by wetlands? I'd
3	argue it is in the wetlands. And for public
4	record, I think the public would like to see the
5	delineation that the DHEC is using for wetlands,
6	such that the site shown and and and
7	certainly one of the reasons this permit should be
8	denied. It is in the wetlands, surrounded by
9	wetlands, and I will move on to the rest of it.
10	Regarding the site being in a hundred a
11	100-year floodplain. I think you have said that
12	this site is in a 100-year floodplain, yet you say
13	whenever possible you should not put a site there.
14	There's a reason for that. There's a simple answer
15	to that and, for whatever reason is, you deny the
16	application. Since the 4 acres is in the is in
17	the floodplain, then there's no place to build it.
18	So basically, this site is not acceptable for
19	based on your technical guidelines.
20	And, you know, you can argue this in court on
21	multiple arguments of what the definition of
22	"surrounded" is and what your rationale is for
23	putting a a C&D site there.
24	This sites run into our community. It's where
25	our grandkids and our residents fish, pull out



1	large fish that come up from the ocean; that's why
2	we're concerned. We're different in the fact that
3	we are have visitors here and we fish in that
4	area and we are concerned. And I'd just like you
5	to address about being surrounded in the wetlands
6	versus in the wetlands and also how you can
7	possibly approve it if it's you say it can't go
8	into a 100-year flood plan (as spoken).
9	MR. KOON: All right. So, again, you know, the Army
10	Corps of Engineers are the arbiters for determining
11	what is a wetland and where they are located. You
12	know, we have been provided by the Town of Hilton
13	Head the wetlands survey that was done specific to
14	this site. We have it posted on our public notice
15	webpage. It was part of you know, as soon as we
16	got that document, we we put it up there. So,
17	you know, if you haven't visited the our public
18	notice webpage, please do so and get the the
19	latest information some of the latest documents
20	that are available. But the the Army Corps of
21	Engineers has determined that there are no wetlands
22	on this 4-acre parcel.
23	And and speaking to the the 100-year
24	floodplain: Yes. We we spoke about that as
25	part of the the previous meeting, but the way we



ll .	
1	interpret that condition in the regulation, which
2	says, "Whenever possible, a transfer station shall
3	not be located within a 100-year floodplain": You
4	know, if if there's a location at the site that
5	the operational area can go without being in a
6	floodplain, then we would require it. But at this
7	site, the entire facility or the entire parcel
8	is located in that 100-year floodplain. So there
9	is, at this site, no nowhere to move it. And,
10	you know, we review applications on a individual
11	basis and and make the determination based on
12	site-specific information.
13	MS. MOYE: Justin, I would like to to add a little
14	bit to that. And thank you, Mr. Fisher, for those
15	concerns. We do we hear you loud and clear, and
16	and we hear that, you know, this is a serious
17	concern for your community, as it should be. And,
18	you know, we it was really made clear to us
19	during the last meeting and again tonight that this
20	is something that we need to make sure is clarified
21	thoroughly before we me move forward with you
22	know, with anything as far as far as a decision
23	on this project. So we are talking with the Corps.
24	We are talking with our Bureau of Water. We we
25	are addressing these issues as they have been



1	raised.
2	So, you know, I encourage you to to stay
3	engaged with us. We certainly do want to make sure
4	that that you have the most up-to-date
5	information, you know, whenever we have it, and if
6	we have anything new to add to that, we will do so.
7	Kristy, I believe we had our next caller ended
8	with the last four digits of 1623.
9	MS. ELLENBERG: Yes, Donna. So if you're calling in
10	from 1623, if you could use star-six to unmute your
11	line and introduce yourself and share your
12	MR. SIMPSON: I I I am this is Brad
13	Simpson. I already called in and spoke with y'all.
14	So that I'm the 1623, so I think it's a repeat.
15	So thank you.
16	MS. ELLENBERG: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Simpson. We have
17	some other callers, as well. There's a number
18	ending in 8174, and then we'll try the number 7377.
19	So if you are the phone number ending in 8174, if
20	you could use star-six to unmute your line.
21	MR. LAWSON: Yes. Good evening. This is John Lawson.
22	I'm a resident of Hilton Head. I live in Palmetto
23	Hall. And thank you for this forum. To the
24	previous caller that talked about Hilton Head
25	Island being a resort island, I'd like to make a



1	comment about that: He's absolutely right. Hilton
2	Head's the largest barrier island on the east coast
3	full of very unique flora and fauna, and a transfer
4	station, which is (technical interruption) does not
5	belong on the island. The other maybe question I
6	have for Justin who talked about traffic and
7	transportation and, granted, it's not part of
8	your permitting process, but how many trucks are
9	going to be going up and down Dillon Road? turning
10	into Gateway Circle? turning down Summit Avenue?
11	There's over 100-unit condos right across from
12	Gateway Circle. School busses pick up and drop off
13	children. Bicycle path that all the tourists and
14	the local residents use is all along Dillon Road.
15	Why isn't that being considered?
16	And what you're going to say is:
17	Transportation, traffic, and noise is not part of
18	the permitting process. And if that's the case,
19	then everybody listening to this call should call
20	city hall and complain to our town council and the
21	city fathers because we are not being represented.
22	Justin, please talk about the number of trucks
23	that will go in and out of Gateway Circle. Thank
24	you.
25	MR. KOON: Sure. So, you know, as part of our permit



1	application, the applicant provides us what he
2	believes the the maximum throughput his facility
3	will see. And so, you know, in in the
4	application, it it states that he expects a
5	maximum and I stress that word "maximum" of
6	ten trucks per hour. And so, you know, if you
7	interpolate that out to a ten-hour day, that would
8	be up to 100 trucks per day. But, again, that
9	that's to to show information to us, DHEC, as
10	to what he thinks the maximum amount of material at
11	the site will be. And so I I really don't know
12	how many trucks will will be on that road.
13	Again, that it's a it's a calculation more so
14	than maybe an actual representation of what could
15	be traveling down the road.
16	UNKNOWN: It's ridiculous.
17	UNKNOWN: Uh-huh.
18	MS. ELLENBERG: Thanks thanks, Justin, for that. I
19	do believe the the phone number ending in 7377,
20	looks like they're no longer online, so we're going
21	to go to the next number, which is number ending in
22	5696. The phone number ending in 5696, if you
23	could unmute buy hitting star-six. It's a phone
24	number ending in 5696. It looks like you're
25	unmuting there.



1	MS. MOUNT: Okay. Well, my name's Joanne Mount, and I
2	have a home at Hilton Head Plantation I'm sorry
3	to use that word. But anyway I just wanted to say
4	that a hundred trucks a day? My God the traffic on
5	that highway out there is unbelievable right now.
6	I can't believe you're even talking about approving
7	this. That's really all I had to say.
8	MS. ELLENBERG: Well, we appreciate you sharing your
9	concerns. Thank you for being here tonight. That
10	was Joanne. What was your your last name again?
11	MS. MOYE: I think it was "Mount," Kristy.
12	MS. ELLENBERG: Okay. Okay. Thank you. Thank you,
13	Ms. Mount. I appreciate you being here tonight.
14	Donna, it looks like we have Risa Prince.
15	MS. MOYE: That's correct.
16	MS. PRINCE: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I
17	spoke last couple last time, particularly about
18	the role of DHEC as the state environmental
19	authority. I understand that are you somewhat
20	limited by the specific regulations that you have,
21	but I'm concerned that, as you continue to discuss
22	this application, that there is an inherent bias in
23	in this approach. Instead of rejecting a
24	deficient application, you've talked about
25	intending to work with the applicant to shore up

1	that application.
2	And at the closing of the meeting last time,
3	one of your managers I believe her first name
4	was Myra, but I don't have a last name spoke
5	about how Hilton Head needs a transfer station due
6	to the increasing construction here. I would
7	assert that the island has been under development
8	for seven decades without one and is significantly
9	built out. So the comments that were made sounded
10	more like they were coming from someone from the
11	department of commerce, but as you stated in your
12	opening tonight, you're the state environmental
13	authority, and I don't believe you should be
14	abetting a deficient application.
15	So I guess my question is: (Technical
16	interruption) application review a fair process by
17	which you just say, "Yes, it conforms with
18	everything," or do you go back and and work with
19	the applicant to fix their errors instead of coming
20	down on the side of protecting the residents from
21	an operator who not only potentially has
22	operational deficiencies but can't even bother to
23	get their application right?
24	MR. KOON: Sure. So the the way that process works,
25	you know, we we definitely do not do work for



1	the consultant or for the applicant. Our job
2	you know, we review the application. If we note
3	deficiencies or concerns, we would write a comment
4	letter to them expressing those concerns, and, you
5	know, they would they would address those and
6	send those back to us. You know, there may be some
7	dialogue between us and the the consultant or
8	the applicant to make sure that we're all on the
9	same page as to what we're looking for, but, you
10	know, that's kind of the extent of working with.
11	It's more of, you know, we we provide a comment
12	letting them know what the deficiencies are and
13	and then they have a conversation on what what
14	we're actually looking for if there's some, you
15	know, misinterpretation or just to make sure we're
16	on the same page.
17	MS. MOYE: Yes. Thank you for that for that comment,
18	Ms. Prince. I do recall you being in our first
19	meeting and and, you know, we certainly do not
20	intend to leave you with the impression that we are
21	working for the Department of Commerce or the
22	you know, that that is how we are viewing this
23	application because it is not, and, you know, we
24	want to be able to clarify our process as much as
25	we need to for you. So, certainly, if you have



II	
1	other questions after tonight, we encourage you to
2	get in touch with us or we can give you a call to
3	really discuss this more in detail if you would
4	like. I certainly don't want anyone on this call
5	coming away with the impression that that that
6	is what DHEC is doing. So thank you for your
7	comments.
8	MS. BLALOCK: Donna?
9	MS. MOYE: Kristy
10	MS. BLALOCK: Donna, this is Juli. Can I add to that
11	just a little bit?
12	MS. MOYE: Yes. Absolutely.
13	MS. BLALOCK: Ms. Prince, I know you discussed how there
14	isn't a need for a transfer station, but we have
15	also heard, I guess, Beaufort County has a
16	convenience center nearby, not far from this site,
17	that currently takes C&D and that there is some
18	discussion of shutting that facility down because
19	of the amount of C&D coming in there. So, you
20	know, I know that that C&D on the island is
21	already going to a facility that may be shutting
22	down. So I just wanted to make sure that you knew
23	that.
24	MS. PRINCE: I I would appreciate just being able to
25	rebut that for a moment because Beaufort County



1	just announced this week that that facility is
2	going to remain open.
3	MS. BLALOCK: Okay. Well, then we'll check into that.
4	MS. PRINCE: Thank you.
5	MS. ELLENBERG: All right. Well, Donna, I know we
6	wanted to to be able to reach as many people as
7	we could tonight. We still have quite a long list
8	of people who want to speak, so I want to make sure
9	we're we're continuing to move forward. We have
10	a phone line ending in 3516, and then we'll go to a
11	phone line ending in 2575. So if you're the phone
12	number ending in 3516 and you can introduce
13	yourself and well, press star-six and then
14	introduce yourself and share a a concern or
15	comment.
16	MS. BERRY: Good evening. This is Judy Berry. Can you
17	hear me?
18	MS. ELLENBERG: Yes, Ms. Berry. We can hear you.
19	MS. BERRY: Great. I want to thank you for your time on
20	this, and I have had good correspondence with
21	Justin, and I want to thank him for that. I am
22	sharing so much of the frustration that you're
23	hearing from other callers. Again, I'll just
24	briefly don't want to repeat everything about
25	the wetlands. I do question how the blue wetland

1	boundary line almost converges with the dotted
2	ditch line at that point on the right of the site.
3	But I know you'll have the Army Corps of Engineers
4	checking into that.
5	Again, I going back to what was said
6	previously about the floodplain, the FEMA flood
7	zone on your site plan is listed at a A7, and FEMA
8	identifies that A7 zone as a special flood hazard
9	area. So, again, going back to the things that you
10	can address, whenever possible as the gentleman
11	said earlier should not be constructed in the
12	floodplain. And so seems to me that this gentleman
13	would've had to prove to you why it was impossible
14	for him not to build this and why he wasn't forced
15	I know you don't get into site selections. But
16	you have this contradiction in your what you can
17	consider and what you can't consider.
18	And, as everyone has said, we are a barrier
19	island. It's obvious that the residents don't want
20	this, and it'll be obvious the tourists will not
21	enjoy this either.
22	Justin, I did send you the question on the
23	second permit and for the composting and asked
24	you what was the timeline for that application
25	process, and I don't think I've gotten an answer



	hade from you on that of when it would be
	back from you on that of when it would be
2	considered and meetings would be held.
3	MR. KOON: Yes, ma'am. So at this time, there's no
4	planned meetings on a composting facility. You
5	know, they have their own public input and, you
6	know, that that project was noticed when we
7	received the application, and there's a 30-day
8	comment period on that project from the date of
9	that notice. So we're not anticipated on having
10	any public meetings or public hearings regarding
11	the composting facility.
12	MS. ELLENBERG: And and, Justin
13	UNKNOWN: What?
14	MS. BERRY: If I could follow up on that: So it it
15	will be going through a permitting process, but you
16	have no date, and also the transportation and the
17	number of trucks that you're referring to that
18	we're talking about tonight is just for the
19	transfer station. When you then go through the
20	trucks that will be dealing with the composting and
21	the grinding permit, you'll have even more traffic,
22	correct?
23	MR. KOON: Possibly, yes, ma'am.
24	MS. BERRY: Isn't it more like it's definite that there
25	will be? I believe the owner of this ArborNature
11	

1	also has another company that he's the owner of
2	dealing with "tropical trash."
3	MR. KOON: I'm not sure of that. Maybe
4	UNKNOWN: Yes. He does.
5	MS. BERRY: His website lists it. If the website is out
6	of date, I don't know. But this is just too
7	problematic. We and you're we're only
8	listening to half the problems and not even the
9	full problems we'll have with the composting and
10	the grinding, which to begin with had to be moved
11	from Indigo Run because it was such a a problem
12	for the Indigo Run residents.
13	MS. ELLENBERG: Thank you, Ms. Berry. I know we're
14	having we're having some kind of disruptions or
15	or some extra background conversation and noise.
16	We really want to make sure that we're able to hear
17	everyone who is sharing. I want to make sure we're
18	capturing what what Ms. Berry's sharing with us
19	and her questions. Again, we do want to remind
20	everybody that, you know, that kind of first ground
21	rule of tonight is is respect. We're trying to
22	respect each other, respect the time, and even
23	respect kind of working through Skype for Business,
24	even when it's sometimes hard to unmute and things
25	of that sort. So please let us remember to be

1	respectful and not have those additional outbursts,
2	if we can, so that we can make sure we're hearing
3	the the person who's speaking.
4	So, Ms. Berry, I do want to go back to you
5	also were talking about kind of the public
6	participation process and some other things.
7	Again, this process is going to continue beyond
8	tonight as well. The public comment period on this
9	permit application does not close until November,
10	and we have October 22nd set for a public hearing.
11	I think you were saying: Is there are there
12	will there be additional meetings on the compost
13	permit. And that's not scheduled at this time.
14	Justin, were there some other things you
15	wanted to add?
16	MR. KOON: I don't think so. You know, she did ask
17	about the number of trucks. But, again, you know,
18	the the trucks, that's a maximum number that's
19	anticipated, so I can't really speak to how many
20	trucks may actually go to the site.
21	MS. ELLENBERG: That's the the cumulative trucks.
22	MR. KOON: Yeah.
23	MS. ELLENBERG: Again, we appreciate everybody sharing
24	these concerns with us. I hope as those first
25	slides that that Justin was sharing kind of



1	noted, we've been hearing a lot of concerns in
2	recent weeks and we've been trying to to capture
3	those as well and and make sure we're continuing
4	to research and have the conversation about those.
5	Thank you, Ms. Berry.
6	Our next couple of people that we have who
7	wanted to speak one is a phone number ending in
8	2575 and then we'll go to Laurie I believe it's
9	"MEE-ger" or "MAY-ger." So if you are calling from
10	the phone number ending in 2575, if you could use
11	star-six to unmute and share your name and your
12	question or comment.
13	MR. LOGUE: Thank you so much. My name's Ed Logue, and
14	I live in Port Royal Plantation. Just a couple of
15	questions: Number 1, it seems like the Army Corps
16	of Engineers should be on the telephone call with
17	us because because there's a lot of questions
18	that got sort of road-blocked or sidetracked to
19	them, and maybe that would be a good thing to do in
20	the future so we can really get that nailed down.
21	The second thing: It's sort of a commonsense
22	thing. Okay. So the the the operation's
23	currently located near Indigo Run, and from what I
24	understand, Indigo Run has been fighting this
25	unwanted entity for for quite a quite a



- 1		
	1	quite a long time. It's smelly, air quality
	2	concerns, etc., and they've been successful, God
	3	bless them, right, into to running them out, so
	4	to speak. And for some reason, they've been
	5	accepted or given a location over by the airport by
	6	Port Royal Plantation. And I don't know for the
	7	life of me I quite understand who in their right
	8	mind might've approved that kind of move. I mean,
	9	it's just sort of crazy to me, but that's I'm
	10	just thinking logically. Okay. So not legally,
	11	not this and that. But they've been they've
	12	been run out of one area, but they're accepted in
	13	another. Is the other area not quite as important
	14	than the the primary area that they're being run
	15	out of? I mean, it's sort of like a crazy
	16	situation, right? So I sort of have to chuckle at
	17	the whole thing; unfortunately, it's very serious.
	18	But do citizens count in this in this deal,
	19	or do we just go by this book and say, "Oh, gosh.
	20	They they they checked off Box A, B, C, D,
	21	and, you guys, too bad; it is what it is"? But,
	22	again, I'll just go back to my my you know,
	23	and I apologize for how this sounds, okay? But who
	24	in their right mind would approve this move? They
	25	wanted to move the operation and expand on it. And



1	they were run out of one area with their operation
2	as it is today, so they're going to move a couple
3	of miles down the street and expand it. Now, who
4	would not have thought there was going to be
5	opposition to that? It's the craziest thing I've
6	ever seen. So anyway, I'll I'll I'll give my
7	time back to you. And thank you for letting me
8	make my my comments and and hope you're
9	hope everybody's doing well.
10	MS. MOYE: Thank you so much for that, Mr was it
11	Logue? I'm not sure if I have that last name
12	MR. LOGUE: Correct.
13	MS. MOYE: correct. Okay. Thank you so much. So,
14	you know, I believe I mentioned in the last meeting
15	that we share many of your frustrations. You know,
16	we don't have all the answers, and and some of
17	those decisions are made on on the local level
18	before anything ever gets to us. And so we do
19	share some of those same concerns with you and ask
20	some of those same questions, and so we appreciate
21	the fact that you you are providing us input on
22	that and and understand where DHEC is in that
23	location issue. So thank you so much for for
24	sharing that with us, and we have heard that quite
25	a bit and and do know that it is a a a



II	
1	huge question there with your community and
2	locally. So thank you for that.
3	Kristy, I believe that we were going to move
4	on to is it Laura Meagher?
5	MS. ELLENBERG: Yes, Donna.
6	MS. MOYE: Ms. Meagher, if you are joining us online,
7	you can click on the microphone on the at the
8	bottom of your screen.
9	MS. MEAGHER: Can you hear me?
10	MS. MOYE: Yes. Thank you. Please go ahead.
11	MS. MEAGHER: So yes. Thank you. Thank you for
12	entertaining my question. I guess I'm looking at
13	the statute here and I'm just going to turn my
14	phone off, make sure I don't have interference.
15	But I'm looking at the the regulations, and they
16	do say they establish minimum standards for
17	facilities. And I I'm looking at this map, and
18	there are so many questions. There's the drainage
19	ditch question. Is it a drainage ditch? Isn't it
20	a drainage ditch? It's right on the edge of a
21	wetland.
22	So all of the issues that people have been
23	raising, it seems like there's a rebuttable
24	presumption that, as previous callers have said, if
25	somebody comes forward and can just by inches meet

1	these regulations, then there seems to be the
2	assumption that there's a rebuttable presumption
3	that then it's okay. But that is not what the
4	regulation says. The regulation says that it is
5	establishing the very minimum standards, and this
6	confluence of events around this tiny site in a
7	very precious, environmentally sensitive area, it
8	seems to me like that's something that should be
9	taken into account rather than this kind of box-
10	checking, "Oh, they've got a few feet. They just
11	made it to the edge of the wetland boundary. They
12	just made it to the edge of what we think is a
13	ditch and somebody said it was a ditch." So I I
14	I I'm really shocked at the kind of literal
15	interpretation of, if you meet these box, you know,
16	checking exercises, you know, that that's enough to
17	satisfy DHEC. So it's shocking to me.
18	And I would also point out that out of all of
19	the waste transfer stations, according to Justin,
20	only one in the entire state of South Carolina has
21	ever been permitted in a floodplain. So that's
22	pretty shocking to me. And I think that it kind of
23	goes to the level of interpretation that, "Why
24	aren't they all in floodplains?" You might as well
25	have all of your locations in floodplains, because



1	if you present a property that's in a floodplain,
2	you'll automatically get approved on that project.
3	So I I think there's just a lot of
4	interpretation and assumptions going on here that
5	really need to be explored further. Thank you.
6	MS. MOYE: Thank you, Ms. Meagher. Juli, would you like
7	to to provide some response to Ms. Meagher's
8	concerns?
9	MS. BLALOCK: Sure. I'm going to try to address as many
10	as I can because, Ms. Meagher, you did you
11	you put a lot of things into one comment. But let
12	me just start by saying: This is you talk about
13	our regulations saying that we establish minimum
14	standards. And that that is correct. It says
15	that. But I can guarantee you since September
16	10th, every comment that we've received that night,
17	we have had our folks, our staff, our region
18	looking into for two weeks solid now. There are
19	some of the folks here tonight that I see their
20	names that I feel like I ought to be on first-name
21	basis in e-mail with them because we're having
22	dialogue back and forth.
23	I can't stress enough about the location
24	criteria. I I wish we could, that we had the
25	authority to choose locations for solid waste



1	management facilities, but again, that is strictly
2	a zoning issue.
3	It is a not a box-checking by any means, and
4	and I really hate that you feel that way because
5	we are looking into all these issues. As I said,
6	this is the most public input we've ever had about
7	a transfer station, so you're bringing up very
8	valid points that we're taking into consideration.
9	I know there's still a lot of concern about the
10	drainage ditch and the area and the and the
11	wetlands, and we're trying to get to that to the
12	bottom of that as quickly as possible. No decision
13	has been made on this site yet.
14	And I I think a previous person spoke
15	about, you know, the permit process is you work
16	with these applicants. Our process is really one
17	where, you know, if somebody submits something to
18	us, it's kind of like development plans for any
19	other or even like when you're building a house.
20	I mean, things are going to be tweaked. We do go
21	back out to the applicants and say, "You know, here
22	are further clarification we need" or questions and
23	comments. And based on, even our conversations two
24	weeks ago and tonight, I mean, this applicant's
25	going to get a lot of questions. He's already



1	received a lot of questions in the last two week
2	from two weeks from us and said, you know,
3	"You're you need to address these and, if you
4	can't address those, I mean, obviously, then we
5	aren't going to be able to issue a permit for this
6	site."
7	So I I just want you to understand that it
8	we know it it says that we establish minimum
9	standards, and we are trying to research every
10	concern y'all have had so that we we can answer
11	these questions. And if they can't be answered,
12	like I said, then a permit won't be issued. But
13	please know that we're looking into to
14	everything and it's not just a foregone conclusion.
15	Thank you.
16	MS. ELLENBERG: Thanks, Juli. We do have several more
17	people who have indicated they want to speak. I
18	think we have some people who have already spoken.
19	We're going to try to make sure we're hearing from
20	those we haven't heard from yet with the time that
21	we have just to be respectful of everyone's time,
22	and again, there are ways to continue the
23	conversation beyond tonight.
24	So we have a phone number ending in 4120. If
25	that number can unmute. And then after that, it

1	would be a phone number ending in 6497. So if
2	you're calling in from 4120, you can use star-six
3	to unmute and give us your name and share your
4	concern and comment.
5	MR. JAMES: Yeah. This is Kevin James; I'm a resident
6	of Hilton Head, live in Port Royal Plantation.
7	Like everyone else, I appreciate you taking time to
8	hear everything. I guess after listening to most
9	of this, a lot of my questions have been answered.
10	You know, I was thinking: Why do they want to do
11	this here? But understandably, it's got to go
12	somewhere.
13	Everything I've heard about wetlands, drainage
14	ditches, the Army Corps of Engineers is very
15	strict, usually more so in favor of people over
16	businesses, in my experience. I have a lot in that
17	area. So, yeah, the Army Corps of Engineers is
18	strong in what they do.
19	Seems like the biggest problem that residents
20	should be focusing on is Town Council of Hilton
21	Head. The folks that are here on this call right
22	now, you know, their hands are kind of tied.
23	They're dealing with what they're dealing with. As
24	far as checking off boxes, if somebody owns a piece
25	of property, they should own it. And if they check



- 1	1	
	1	off the boxes, they should be able to do what they
	2	want with it. So with that, I'll leave it alone
	3	and thank you again for your time.
	4	MS. ELLENBERG: Thank you, Mr. James. So, Justin, I
	5	think what was touched on earlier is is we are
	6	coordinating and having communication with the Army
	7	Corps of Engineers and and other entities that
	8	are part of this. Is there something you want to
	9	add to that?
	10	MR. KOON: No. I think he summed it up pretty well.
	11	MS. ELLENBERG: Okay. We do have a phone line ending in
	12	6497, and then we'll go to Kent Kerkhof. So if
	13	you're calling in from the phone number ending in
	14	6497, if you could use star-six to unmute and share
	15	your name and then your question or concern.
	16	MR. SCHLEGEL: Good evening. This is Keith Schlegel.
	17	I'm in Hilton Head Plantation. Kristy, I
	18	appreciate the time and the insights that you-all
	19	are providing tonight. First of all, I'd like to
	20	echo the earlier quarters callers regarding the
	21	100-year floodplain. The website's pretty clear
	22	that these are not sites that you want to put a
	23	solid waste facility in. Justin Koon earlier
	24	stated that he interprets the the DHEC as
	25	guidance and he's willing to allow this site. Is



1	there a way that citizens can challenge this
2	interpretation and and go with the guidance on
3	the DHEC site that this should not happen?
4	The second question or point I'd like to raise
5	is whether you can expand on the infiltration
6	basin. Who set the standards for this? Are there
7	published standards? Are these available on the
8	DHEC website? And have you engaged with the
9	stormwater runoff departments of Beaufort County
10	and Hilton Head? We reached out to the Hilton Head
11	folks, and they said that they were unaware of this
12	site and the permit.
13	And then just a couple more points. I don't
14	understand why this severely negative history of
15	this business operator that was mentioned earlier
16	is not considered. There are numerous zoning
17	violations, noise, and hour of operation ordinance
18	violations, air quality violations. I've heard
19	from Justin in some of our e-mails back in forth
20	that many times applicants will step up and try to
21	be a good neighbor. This individual and this
22	business have done everything they could in
23	violation of of every town ordinance and
24	agreement. As someone stated earlier, the people
25	of Indigo Run effectively got him run out of there.



- 1		
	1	And now here were are saying, "Well, we should
	2	trust that this applicant will be able to identify
	3	non-permitted waste. He will meet all permit
	4	requirements" when in his past business history, he
	5	has done none of those things.
	6	And then just my last point sorry for the
	7	length, but the applicant's proposed \$35,000 bond
	8	is absurd. The engineer who put this together
	9	pretty much presumes in his assumptions that the
	10	business will be operating completely in accordance
	11	with all permit requirements, there will be no
	12	excess waste, there will be no issues with
	13	machinery and equipment, and that personnel are
	14	well-trained and willing to work for \$13 an hour.
	15	Just compare that, please, to the Able Contracting
	16	taxpayer cost of \$4 1/2 million. So in the event
	17	that you do issue a permit, which I surely hope you
	18	do not, that bond needs to be changed by orders of
	19	magnitude into the hundreds of thousands of dollars
	20	at least. I was in the finance business, and I
	21	financed some of these solid waste transfer and
	22	landfill operations in the past, and the cleanups
	23	of these things are very expensive. Thank you.
	24	MS. ELLENBERG: Thank you, Mr. Schlegel. I heard a
	25	number of different kind of questions and concerns



1	there I want to make sure we're able to to
2	address those as best we can. One I know is
3	probably more for our Coastal Stormwater Program
4	about the infiltration basin. There were also
5	questions about financial assurance, the floodplain
6	location, again as we've we've touched on
7	earlier in the meeting, and the the history of
8	the owner of this facility. I'm going to ask our
9	team kind of where should we start? I think we've
10	got a few different members of our our DHEC
11	staff who might be able to address some of those
12	questions.
13	MR. KOON: I would say let's start with either Shannon
14	Hicks or Jill Stewart with the water portion.
15	MS. ELLENBERG: Right. So let's touch on that
16	infiltration basin question.
17	MS. HICKS: Okay. This is Shannon Hicks. So the
18	infiltration basin does have design standards. The
19	state design standards start with designing to meet
20	the two- and the ten-year 24-hour storm event,
21	which is a storm that the two-year's a 50
22	percent change likelihood of happening every year,
23	and the ten-year is a 10 percent change of it
24	happening every year. The Town of Hilton Head also
25	has design requirements. And, through a program

1	implemented by EPA, they are actually the lead on
2	the technical review in this area, and most often
3	the local government requirements exceed the state
4	standards because the state standards are are a
5	guideline.
6	But with infiltration basins, there's also
7	pretty specific criteria as well where the invert
8	of the basin has to be a half a foot above the
9	seasonal high ground water table, and there's soils
10	data that has to be acquired from the site, soil
11	borings, to measure the infiltration rate of the
12	soil, and then also to actually physically locate
13	the location of the seasonal high ground water
14	table. So there are certainly design standards for
15	that infiltration basin and the actual technical
16	review of that, and the review was done by the Town
17	of Hilton Head. We've spoken with them, Brian
18	Eber, the stormwater manager over there, has
19	reviewed the design calculations and has provided
20	an approval for it.
21	MR. SCHLEGEL: Shannon, it's Keith Schlegel again. I'm
22	sorry, but if I may, one of my neighbors called the
23	Stormwater Runoff Commission for the Town of Hilton
24	Head, and the day before yesterday, and they
25	advised that they were not aware of this site or



1	the permit. So who is it that's signed off on
2	this?
3	MS. HICKS: Brian Eber with the Town of Hilton Head.
4	MR. SCHLEGEL: Is his signoff public record?
5	MS. HICKS: Sure. We can send you a copy.
6	MR. SCHLEGEL: Thank you.
7	MS. ELLENBERG: Thank you, Shannon. I know there were
8	some other questions there about financial
9	assurance and, again, the floodplain location that
10	we've touched on earlier.
11	MR. KOON: Yeah. So the financial assurance, you know,
12	we've reviewed this financial assurance the
13	closure cost estimate, if you will, which is a
14	little over \$34,000 for 250 tons. And, you know,
15	we've evaluated it against what we've seen at other
16	facilities, and it is very much in line with what
17	we typically approve at other C&D transfer
18	stations. So we do have, you know, good data on
19	how much it costs to to move waste from one
20	facility to another.
21	You know, as you mentioned, kind of comparing
22	this to how much it cost to remove the Able
23	material, you know, that was way order of
24	magnitudes more than what is permitted at this
25	site. And, you know, with ongoing inspections,

1	we'll be making sure that he stays below that
2	permitted tonnage of 240 tons. You know, if if
3	if we see
4	MR. SCHLEGEL: Thank thank you thank you for that,
5	Justin. But, again, that presumes that, if you're
6	if you're checking once every 90 days, if there
7	are financial issues there, you will not have 240
8	tons on on-site. And and the most recent
9	experience you have in terms of what it costs to
10	remediate one of these sites granted the site
11	was much larger, the pile was massive I don't
12	anticipate this could ever get to that level, but
13	to to go with the assumption that the engineer
14	presented in the application presumes that the
15	business is operating perfectly at the time you
16	step in. And that normally is not the case, so
17	thank you.
18	MS. ELLENBERG: All right. Juli, did you want to add
19	something to this discussion as well?
20	MS. BLALOCK: Sure. I think we keep hearing concerns
21	about the history of this particular applicant, and
22	I just want to talk about, kind of, from a program
23	standpoint the history of that. Decades ago and
24	since that time, there was something proposed
25	called the "bad actor legislation," and we've tried



1	for years to get that legislation passed so that
2	folks that don't have a good operating history
3	would not be able to you know, that we could
4	deny permits based on their history. And we have
5	been unsuccessful in getting any legislation like
6	that passed. So it's not, like I said, for lack of
7	trying.
8	I mean, like the Able site, you know, a lot of
9	these companies set up as LLC, and that's why it's
10	so important that we have that FA on these sites so
11	that, if that LLC were to go bankrupt or walk away
12	or, you know, whatever, that FA is critical so that
13	we can bring a third party in and remove what would
14	be up to the 240 tons that could be stored on that
15	site off the site. But I I didn't want y'all to
16	think we haven't tried over the years to get
17	legislation passed that would definitely hold
18	people's former actions on other sites hold them
19	accountable for those as we go forward in a
20	permitting process. Thank you.
21	MS. MOYE: Thank you, Juli. So, Kristy, I know that we
22	are coming up on 8:50, and we had mentioned on the
23	agenda that our time to to close the meeting
24	would be around nine o'clock. I also see that we
25	have several other people who have questions. So



II	
1	maybe if we could take two or three more of those
2	and then have time for some closing comments before
3	we we leave
4	MS. ELLENBERG: Sure. Sure, Donna. I think what we
5	might do is go to Kent Kerkhof, and then I want to
6	make sure we go back there was somebody earlier
7	we tried to call on via phone with the phone number
8	ending in 9102. So is Kent Kerkhof available?
9	Mr. Kerkhof, it looks like you're unmuted, but
10	we're not hearing you. Okay, Mr. Kerkhof, let's
11	can we hear you now? We might be having some
12	issues with a microphone there.
13	How about the phone number ending in 9102? If
14	you could use star-six to unmute. We do want to
15	make sure we're able to to follow up. Again,
16	tonight's not the only opportunity to be able to
17	to touch base with us and ask questions and share
18	concerns. And so we want to make sure we're able
19	to continue that conversation.
20	How about Marshall Fletcher? Is Marshall
21	Fletcher able to to unmute? You can use your
22	microphone? I see that microphone lighting up.
23	Marshall, are you there?
24	I'm going to ask my tech team in the
25	background to aid if they have any advice to offer



1	here as we seem to be having some trouble with
2	with some of the muting and unmuting. There may be
3	some trouble with microphones or or something
4	else with the platform. How about we have phone
5	number ending in 0102? Wait.
6	MR. COUCH: Hello? My name
7	MS. ELLENBERG: Hello. How are you?
8	UNKNOWN: Hello?
9	MR. COUCH: Fine. My name is Ken Couch, and I'm live
10	in Port Royal Plantation. And I just listening
11	to all the things, many of my questions have been
12	answered. But I was just wondering: What is the
13	experience of DHEC how many barrier islands that
14	are not industrial, tourist sites, have you
15	approved these type of Class Two C&D sites? And
16	what outcomes have you had from from this? I
17	I just don't understand why this is going on a
18	barrier island.
19	MS. ELLENBERG: Justin, is that something you can
20	MR. KOON: Yeah. I mean, I can I can take a stab at
21	it. You know, I'm not real sure how many
22	facilities we have permitted on a barrier island.
23	You know, one of the closest facilities I can think
24	of to the coast would be probably the Barnwell
25	Resources Class Two Landfill on Lady's Island, so

- 1		
	1	kind of in downtown Beaufort. And it I mean,
	2	it's a Class Two landfill. It takes the the
	3	material, you know, that would come to this
	4	facility, but they take it for permanent disposal.
	5	You know, we have groundwater monitoring around
	6	that facility. I can't think of too many issues
	7	that we've had at that facility. But it it's
	8	probably the closest that I can think of that's
	9	been permitted to a barrier island. I'm not sure
	10	if Lady's Island is a is considered a barrier
	11	island, though.
	12	MS. ELLENBERG: Thank you, Justin.
	13	MS. MOYE: Thank you.
	14	MR. COUCH: Thank thank you for that, Justin. And I
	15	guess that's I guess where I'm I'm trying to
	16	figure out: Are you basing your data and things
	17	that you're that you're going to approve this on
	18	basically on inland transfer stations and waste
	19	sites and or is are we just being grouped in
	20	even though we are very fragile ecologically
	21	(technical interruption), or are we just being
	22	grouped into inland?
	23	MR. KOON: I mean, I I don't think you're being
	24	grouped into either of those categories. I mean,
	25	you know, we have a regulation that's been

11							
1	developed, and this site is required to meet the						
2	requirements of the reg.						
3	MS. ELLENBERG: Justin, I think another point to to						
4	maybe go back to and this was on some of the						
5	slides we shared about our permitting process and						
6	previous concerns to when anything is in our						
7	seven coastal counties, it does have coastal zone						
8	consistency as part of that process with OCRM.						
9	OCRM it's my turn to use an acronym is for						
10	your Ocean and Coastal Resource Management and so						
11	kind of Chris Stout and and that crew. Chris,						
12	do you want to add to that? Looks like Chris is						
13	unmuting his line. I'm sorry eight coastal						
14	counties maybe.						
15	Well, now I'm not hearing from Chris. But						
16	there there is a coastal zone consistency						
17	process with things are located in our coastal						
18	counties of South Carolina, which is part of the						
19	process as well versus other counties.						
20	MR. KOON: Yeah. So we we would not be able to issue						
21	our permit without a coastal zone consistency						
22	determination being done. And hopefully Chris can						
23	add, you know, kind of what they look at as part of						
24	their process.						
25	MS. ELLENBERG: We might be able, in the interest of						



1	time, to to kind of add some further information						
2	after tonight about that that process.						
3	MS. BLALOCK: Can I add a little bit to that, Kristy? I						
4	know Chris is having some						
5	MS. ELLENBERG: Sure.						
6	MS. BLALOCK: issues with his phone, and I'm not						
7	really going to talk to the the OCRM process,						
8	but I guess about the question of other transfer						
9	stations on barrier islands and tourist						
10	destinations and mainland versus islands.						
11	We do have numerous transfer stations and I						
12	realize these aren't islands, but we do have						
13	transfer stations in Charleston on the peninsula						
14	and areas outside of Charleston: Mt. Pleasant, you						
15	know; Ashley River, West Ashley area; as well as						
16	Myrtle Beach. We have a lot there. A lot of times						
17	several of the smaller beaches and islands, the						
18	county actually runs the convenience centers on the						
19	islands, which are similar to the transfer						
20	stations.						
21	Many of you've seen them, and we talked about						
22	them in on September 10th, that those are						
23	county-run facilities that have the roll-off						
24	containers that people can put their C&D debris in						
25	or their household garbage in, and then that						



II	
1	that material is picked up by a truck and
2	transferred off the island. These transfer
3	stations and convenience stations are put in these
4	locations because landfills can't be located there
5	because of the environment.
6	So that's what I'm saying: These places are
7	out there. You know, I vacation down at
8	Litchfield, Pawley's, Hilton Head, and, yes, there
9	are transfer stations and convenience centers that
10	do the same type of operation bringing this
11	material out of those coastal areas. So and as
12	far as, like I said, tourist destination, we have
13	numerous transfer stations in some of our biggest
14	tourist destinations in the state.
15	MR. KOON: And and another point is, you know, we
16	don't regulate the convenience centers that are
17	operated by the the coastal or by any county,
18	so we're not aware of where those are necessarily
19	located other than having visited some of those
20	places and and actually see them. So yeah.
21	MS. ELLENBERG: Thanks for that. I do see two more
22	numbers that that we have
23	MR. COUCH: Thanks for your
24	MS. ELLENBERG: that had indicated they wanted to
25	speak. I know we are at 8:57, so I do want to



II	
1	respect our time tonight and the agenda tonight,
2	but maybe let's see if we can touch on quickly with
3	a phone number ending in 9898 and then a phone
4	number ending in 4631, and then we'll try to to
5	finish up the meeting tonight and tell you how to
6	continue to stay involved in the process.
7	Phone number ending in 9898, if you could use
8	star-six and
9	MR. AKERS: Hello?
10	MS. ELLENBERG: Hello.
11	MR. AKERS: Hi. My name is Nick Akers, and I wanted to
12	follow up with a comment and a question relative to
13	Lynn Wiggins' earlier comments having to do with
14	the drainage ditch. And there were it's a lot
15	of conversation surrounding that drainage ditch,
16	and you're going to research, I think, with the
17	Army Corps of Engineers whether that really is, in
18	fact, a drainage ditch or not; is that correct?
19	MR. KOON: So the the Army Corps wouldn't necessarily
20	tell us whether it's a drainage ditch. They would
21	tell us whether it's like waters of the state or
22	waters of the US. But, yes, we would be
23	MR. AKERS: Maybe my
24	MR. KOON: consulting
25	MR. AKERS: Maybe my question then would be: If it is

- not a drainage ditch, then that would alter the
- parameter of the boundary; is that correct?
- 3 MR. KOON: Yes. It would alter where the operating area
- 4 could be located, correct. He would have to
- 5 provide that 200-foot buffer if it's deemed not to
- 6 be a drainage ditch.
- 7 MR. AKERS: And to her point, then, if it were not a
- 8 drainage ditch, then the site-specific geography
- 9 would be substantially reduced because of that now
- 10 new buffer zone, correct?
- 11 MR. KOON: Yes. He would definitely have to move the --
- the operating area, you know, to the southwest and
- -- and be able to meet the buffers.
- 14 MS. ELLENBERG: Thank you for that. Let's see if
- 15 someone from the phone number 4631, and then we're
- 16 going to try to wrap up tonight's meeting. If
- 17 you're calling in from that --
- 18 MR. AKERS: I have a --
- 19 MS. ELLENBERG: -- phone number ending in 4631.
- 20 MR. SCRUGGS: Yes. Hi. Can you hear me?
- 21 MS. ELLENBERG: Yes, sir. What's --
- MR. SCRUGGS: My name's Sam Scruggs.
- 23 MS. ELLENBERG: -- your name, sir?
- 24 MR. SCRUGGS: Sam Scruggs. My question is -- I guess a
- 25 couple questions and a comment.



UNKNOWN: Hello? 1 2 MR. SCRUGGS: In the material that was just posted after 3 the last meeting -- you keep on saying you're going to consult the Army Corps of Engineers, but in that 4 5 documentation, the Army Corps has determined the site is not a water of the United States; is that 6 7 correct? 8 MR. KOON: I -- I don't think they made a determination on anything off-site is what I'm hearing. 9 the -- the determination that's been made so far is 10 11 only relevant to the 4-acre parcel in question. Okay. So pursuant to the previous 12 MR. SCRUGGS: 13 question, the determination of whether this is drainage ditch or not does not like with the Army 14 15 Corps, that lies with DHEC; is that correct? 16 MR. KOON: It does. That is correct. 17 MR. SCRUGGS: Okay. And I think someone at the beginning -- I guess, what's the determination 18 19 you're going to use whether that's a drainage ditch 20 or not? We would rely on our Bureau of Water to make 21 22 that determination or to assist --MR. SCRUGGS: Okay. 23 -- in making that determination. 24 MR. KOON: So --25 MR. SCRUGGS: And what is the conditional -- so you've

II		
1		conditionally approved this permit. What exactly
2		does that mean? You conditionally approved the
3		draft permit when it was made, so does that mean
4		you've reviewed this against the statute and you
5		haven't found anything that would prohibit you from
6		denying the application?
7	MR.	KOON: Correct.
8	MR.	SCRUGGS: So I guess I understand all the empathy
9		and that you you've never had so much opposition
10		to a C&D site before, but I've heard nothing
11		despite the empathy, I've heard nothing
12		especially from you, Mr. Koon that suggests that
13		you're going to deny this permit.
14	MS.	ELLENBERG: Mr. Scruggs, this might be a a good
15		time for us to kind of go back to where we are in
16		the process. What I'm hearing is we have noted
17		that and, Justin, can we go back to that slide
18		or whoever's currently presenting, let's go back
19		towards the end. We had a slide that kind of
20		outlines the timeline going forward. There you go.
21		So, again, that notice of draft permit was done in
22		in June. And we've been having this public
23		participation process that's gone forward from
24		there. And this is the second public meeting
25		tonight. We do have a public hearing, and the



1	comments and concerns that we've been hearing,						
2	again, both in these meetings and outside of these						
3	meetings, are things that our staff has continued						
4	to follow up and work on as well. And I think						
5	we've we've tried to point that out a few times.						
6	And the the process is going to continue after						
7	tonight. We are going to be able to have that						
8	public hearing opportunity (technical						
9	interruption)						
10	MR. KOON: Kristy, somebody muted everyone. So						
11	MS. ELLENBERG: Sorry about that. Well, I don't quite						
12	know exactly where I got cut off, but what I was						
13	going to say is we do have that opportunity for a						
14	public hearing on October 22nd. If you keep						
15	following along with the the website and stay						
16	abreast of of kind of any updates that we add to						
17	that, as well, you'll find more information about						
18	that.						
19	The public hearing is where we take formal						
20	written and oral public comments, and so it will be						
21	an opportunity to go on the record. It's a little						
22	different from tonight and the meeting we						
23	previously had because we're not able to have that						
24	kind of question-and-answer and dialogue back and						
25	forth. But it's where we're able to to take						



1	those formal public comments. And, again, the
2	formal public comment period that can be submitted
3	by by mail or by e-mail will continue through
4	November 5th. So we are committed to kind of that
5	public participation process.
6	And, Donna, you might want to add anything
7	onto that as well.
8	MS. MOYE: Yeah. Thank you, Kristy. So I just want to
9	wanted to say that, first of all, we do thank
10	you for your questions and comments tonight. We do
11	hear your frustration, and we do going forward,
12	you know, we we've listened to that. And and
13	so that does have an impact on our process as it
14	as it moves forward. So I encourage you to
15	continue to give us your feedback to continue to
16	ask us questions, whether that be by e-mail or mail
17	or phone or or however that is. Like the
18	outline that Kristy just mentioned, we have several
19	opportunities for you to engage with us, and we
20	certainly do encourage you to do that.
21	So I know that we are at nine 9:05 right
22	now. So what I would like to do would be just to
23	reiterate a few points before we we close the
24	meeting. As Kristy just mentioned, DHEC's virtual
25	public hearing for the proposed transfer station



1	will be held on Thursday October 22nd of this year.					
2	The public hearing is a formal opportunity for					
3	comments to be stated officially for the record.					
4	And they will be transcribed transcribed by a					
5	court reporter. Additional information about the					
6	public hearing will be posted on our webpage as we					
7	get closer to the hearing date. A reminder that					
8	the public comment period will remain open through					
9	November 5th of 2020. That's a little time after					
10	the public hearing.					
11	And it is so important that you stay connected					
12	with us. Please reach out by phone, mail, or e-					
13	mail if we did not have an answer to your question					
14	tonight, or if you're interested in discussing					
15	specific aspects of this proposed project, we will					
16	certainly be happy to talk with you more. Also					
17	there will be a link posted on our webpage to a					
18	public meeting survey. We are interested in					
19	knowing your thoughts and suggestions about					
20	tonight's virtual meeting and how DHEC can improve					
21	the way we communicate and share information with					
22	you. Please take a moment to complete this survey					
23	within the next couple of days.					
24	So on behalf of DHEC, I would again like to					
25	thank you for your participation this evening and					



1	your your patience and your respectfulness
2	towards all who have participated.
3	Please protect yourself and others by
4	following local, state, and federal guidelines to
5	minimize the spread of COVID-19. This virtual
6	public meeting will now be adjourned and you can
7	disconnect from the meeting. Goodnight.
8	(End of recording.)
9	(*This transcript may contain quoted material.
10	Such material is reproduced as read or quoted
11	by the speaker.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



STATE O	F SOUT	H CAROLINA)		
)	TRANSCRIPTION	CERTIFICATE
COUNTY	OF	LEXINGTON)		

I, Audrey S. Beebe, a notary public for the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that I transcribed preceding pages from a recording, and that I was not present at the time the recording was made.

I further certify that I am not a relative nor an employee of any of the parties hereto, nor of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor interested in the outcome of this action.

In witness thereof, I have hereunto affixed my signature.

Audrey S. Beebe

Date: 11/11/2020

Notary Public of South Carolina My commission expires September 27, 2026.